arXiv:1104.2786v2 [math.PR] 27 Jul 2011

ON SMALL DEVIATIONS OF STATIONARY GAUSSIAN PROCESSES AND RELATED ANALYTIC INEQUALITIES

MICHEL J. G. WEBER

Abstract. Let $\{X_j, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be a Gaussian stationary sequence having a spectral function F of infinite type. Then for all n and $z \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{j=1}^{n} |X_j| \leq z\Big\} \leq \Big(\int_{-z/\sqrt{G(f)}}^{z/\sqrt{G(f)}} e^{-x^2/2}\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\Big)^n,$$

where $G(f)$ is the geometric mean of the Radon Nycodim derivative of the absolutely continuous part f of F. The proof uses properties of finite Toeplitz forms. Let $\{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be a sample continuous stationary Gaussian process with covariance function $\gamma(u)$. We also show that there exists an absolute constant K such that for all $T > 0$, $a > 0$ with $T \geq \varepsilon(a)$,

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{0\leq s,t\leq T} |X(s) - X(t)| \leq a\Big\} \leq \exp\Big\{-\frac{KT}{\varepsilon(a)p(\varepsilon(a))}\Big\},$$

where $\varepsilon(a) = \min\big\{b > 0 : \delta(b) \geq a\big\}$, $\delta(b) = \min_{u\geq 1}\{\sqrt{2(1-\gamma((ub))}, u \geq 1\}$, and $p(b) = 1 + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{|2\gamma(jb)-\gamma((j-1)b)-\gamma((j+1)b)|}{2(1-\gamma(b))}$. The proof is based on some decoupling inequalities arising from Brascamp-Lieb inequality. Both approaches are developed and compared on examples. Several other related results are established.

2000 AMS Mathematical Subject Classification: Primary: 60F15, 60G50 ; Secondary: 60F05.

Keywords and phrases: small deviation, Gaussian process, stationary, decoupling coefficient, matrices with dominant principal diagonal, Geršgorin's disks, Toeplitz forms, eigenvalues, strong Szegö limit theorem, geometric mean, Littlewood hypothesis.

1. Introduction and Preliminary Results

The study of small deviations of continuous Gaussian processes and more general continuous processes is a very active domain of research. This is also a very specialized area, rich of many specific results, mainly concerning typical processes having strongly regular covariance structure, such as Brownian motion, Brownian sheet, fractional Brownian motions, integrated fractional Brownian motions, Hurst processes, . . . This aspect of the theory has naturally many applications in statistics. It is also sometimes related to operator theory.

The small deviations problem for the class of stationary Gaussian processes is of particular interest, the way how stationary and mixing properties interact being notably not quite well understood. This is the main focus of this work. Let $X = \{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be throughout a sample continuous stationary Gaussian process with covariance function $\gamma(u) = \mathbb{E}\, X(t+u)X(t)$. The underlying problem is the study for small z and T large, $0 < z < z_0$, $T_0 \le T < \infty$ say, of the probability

$$\mathbb{P}\big\{ \sup_{0\le s,t\le T} |X(s) - X(t)| \le z\big\}.$$

One can also separately consider asymptotics for $T \to \infty$, a being fixed, or $a \to 0$, T fixed. The most celebrated example of stationary Gaussian process is naturally the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process $U(t) = W(e^t)e^{-t/2}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, W denoting the standard Brownian motion. And we know that for $z > 0$, there exist positive constants $K_1(z), K_2(z)$ such that for all $T \ge 1$

$$K_1(z)e^{-\lambda(z)T} \le \mathbb{P}\Big\{ \sup_{0\le s\le T} |U(s)| < z\Big\} \le K_1(z)e^{-\lambda(z)T}. \tag{1.1}$$

Further $\lambda(z) \sim \frac{\pi^2}{4z^2}$ as $z \to 0$. See [8], Lemma 2.2. This precise estimate follows from earlier work of Newell in which this question is showed to be intimately linked to the Sturm-Liouville equation

$$\psi''(x) - x\psi'(x) = -\lambda\psi(x), \qquad \psi(-z) = \psi(z) = 0. \tag{1.2}$$

Let $\lambda_1 \le \lambda_2 \le \ldots$ and $\psi_1(x), \psi_2(x), \ldots$ respectively denote the eigenvalues and normed eigenfunctions of Eq.(1.2). Here λ_i, ψ_j depend on z and it is known that $\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots$ form an orthonormal sequence with respect to the weight function $e^{-x^2/2}$. And $\lambda(z) = \lambda_1$ in (1.1). According to [27],

$$\mathbb{P}\big\{ \sup_{0\le s\le t} |U(s)| < z\big\} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_k t}\Big(\int_{-z}^{z} \psi_k(x)e^{-x^2/2}\mathrm{d}x\Big)^2. \tag{1.3}$$

For many purposes, the weaker estimate below suffices, and is moreover simpler to establish: for $T \ge T_0$, $0 \le z \le z_0$

$$e^{-K_1\frac{T}{z^2}} \le \mathbb{P}\Big\{ \sup_{0\le s,t\le T} |U(s) - U(t)| \le z\Big\} \le e^{-K_2\frac{T}{z^2}}, \tag{1.4}$$

K_1, K_2 being absolute constants. The lower bound part follows from Talagrand's general lower bound in [33]. See [2],[41] for recent improvments. As to the upper bound part, it can be for instance deduced from Stolz's estimate [32] (Corollary 1.2) or (5.15). The small deviations problem of X naturally relies on both the behavior of $\gamma(u)$ near 0 and near infinity. At this regard, it is worth observing that the (exponential) rate of decay of $\gamma(u)$ near infinity is hidden in (1.1) and (1.4). Let us begin with the discrete case. Let $\underline{X} = \{X_j, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be a stationary Gaussian sequence. If the sequence $\underline{X}$ is i.i.d., then obviously

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P}\big\{ \sup_{j=1}^{n} |X_j| \le x\big\} = 1, \qquad \forall x > 0. \tag{1.5}$$

It is rather unexpected that this holds for a very large class of stationary Gaussian sequences. It suffices in effect, that the geometric mean of the Radon-Nycodim derivative of the absolutely continuous part of its spectrum be finite; see Theorem 5.1 where a more precise result is established. Beyond this case, that question seems to loose much interest. For instance if $\underline{X}$ has absolutely continuous spectrum with spectral density f, and f has infinite geometric mean, then $\underline{X}$ is deterministic. This yields extremely strong dependence between the successive variables X_j. The condition that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mathbb{E}\, X_0X_n| < \infty$ is also sufficient for the validity of (1.5).

We will study these questions through essentially two different ways: one is probabilistic, although based on a real analysis device, and the other of spectral nature. We shall also compare them on representative classes of examples. The first is the correlation approach, which is based on powerful correlation inequalities derived from Brascamp–Lieb's inequality. This is investigated in Sections 2,3,4. We notably establish for the continuous parameter case a rather general upper bound integrating the rate of decay of $\gamma(u)$ near infinity.

A first relevant and little known correlation estimate is Gebelein's inequality ([7],[36]). Let ν be the centered normalized Gauss measure on $\mathbb{R}$. Let (U,V) be a Gaussian pair with $U \overset{\mathcal{D}}{=} V \overset{\mathcal{D}}{=} \nu$ and let $\rho = \mathbb{E}\, UV$. Then for any $f,h \in L^2(\nu)$

$$|\mathbb{E}\, f(U)h(V)| \le |\rho| \|f\|_2 \|h\|_2. \tag{1.6}$$

An analog result is Nelson's hyper-contractive estimate, which can be reformulated as follows

$$|\mathbb{E}\, f(U)h(V)| \le \|f\|_p \|h\|_q, \tag{1.7}$$

where $(p-1)(q-1) \ge \rho^2$. One can take in particular $p = q = 1 + |\rho|$. We have given Guerra, Rosen and Simon formulation of Nelson's estimate [13], which was originally stated for the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. They also established for this process that

$$\Big|\mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^n f_j(U(ja))\Big| \le \prod_{j=1}^n \|f_j(U(0))\|_p, \tag{1.8}$$

for all integers n, where $a > 0$ and $p = (1-e^{-na})^{-1}(1+e^{-na})$. A more general form was later proved in a deep work [15] by Klein, Landau and Shucker. See Lemma 2.3. As already mentionned, the main ingredient is a real analysis inequality due to Brascamp–Lieb [4], which asserts that for any complex-valued functions f_j and real numbers $1 \le p_j \le \infty$, $j = 1, \dots k$ with $\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{p_j} = n \ge k$, n integer, if $f_j \in L^{p_j}(\mathbb{R})$, then for any vectors a^j in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $j = 1, \dots k$,

$$\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{j=1}^k f_j(\langle a^j, x\rangle)\, \mathrm{d}x\Big| \le D \prod_{j=1}^k \|f_j\|_{p_j}, \tag{1.9}$$

and the constant D is computable explicitely (see [4], Theorems 1,5). Inequalities of this sort were intensively investigated in the recent years, see [3] for instance and references therein.

The second approach is based on the theory of finite Toeplitz forms, especially strong Szegö limit theorem and is investigated in Section 5. We obtain comparable upper and lower estimates under simple conditions regarding the spectral density of the stationary Gaussian sequence. It seems by the way rather evident to assert that any reasonable attempt for developing a small deviation theory of stationary Gaussian processes cannot be undertaken without including a large account from the asymptotic theory of eigenvalues of finite Toeplitz forms. This can be well illustrated as follows. Let $\underline{X}$ having a spectral density function $f(t)$ and put

$$c_k = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ikt} f(t)\mathrm{d}t, \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let Γ_n denote the covariance matrix of $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$, obviously $\mathbb{E}\, X_j X_k = c_{j-k}$. The study of the asymptotic distribution of its eigenvalues, as n tends to infinity, can be equivalently viewed as the one of the finite Toeplitz forms

$$T_n(f) = \sum_{j,k=0}^{n} c_{j-k} a_j \bar{a}_k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Big| \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k e^{ikt} \Big|^2 f(t) \mathrm{d}t, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots$$

This is an old question. Let m and M denote the essential lower and upper bound f respectively. Assume for instance that $0 < m \le M < \infty$. Denote by $\lambda_1^n, \ldots, \lambda_{n+1}^n$, the eigenvalues of the Hermitian form $T_n(f)$, namely the roots of the characteristic function $T_n(f - \lambda) = 0$. As $\lambda_j^n \ge m > 0$, it follows that $\det(\Gamma_n) > 0$. It is well-known that the sets

$$\big\{\lambda_j^n\big\} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \big\{ f\big(-\pi + \frac{2j\pi}{n+2} \big) \big\}, \qquad n \to \infty,$$

are equally distributed in the Weyl sense. According to Szegö's limit theorem ([12], Chapter 5), for any continuous function F defined on $[m, M]$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{F(\lambda_1^n) + \ldots + F(\lambda_{n+1}^n)}{n+1} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F(f(t)) \mathrm{d}t. \tag{1.10}$$

A well-known fact easily derived from (1.10) is that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \big[\det(\Gamma_n) \big]^{\frac{1}{n+1}} = \exp \Big\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log f(t) \mathrm{d}t \Big\}. \tag{1.11}$$

Indeed, as $\det(\Gamma_n) = \lambda_1^n \ldots \lambda_{n+1}^n$, it suffices to apply (1.10) with $F(\lambda) = \log \lambda$, $\lambda > 0$. This has immediate consequences concerning the small values of $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$, $n \to \infty$.

Finally we examine in Section 6 the non-stationary case and use the convenient notion of matrices with dominant principal diagonal. This direction was explored by Li and Shao (see [23], see also the survey [24] and the references therein, as well the earlier work of Marcus [26]), and some improvments of their results are established. We also clarify the relevance of this notion in the context of eigenvalues of Hermitian matrices by linking it with Geršgorin's Theorem.

We believe that the used approaches are potentially more developable and should certainly allow to improve on the general knewledge of small deviations in the stationary case.

Basic Estimates. Recall well-known Kathri-Sidák's inequality implying for any Gaussian vector $(X_1, \ldots, X_J)$ that

$$\prod_{j=1}^{J} \mathbb{P}\{|X_j| \le z\} \le \mathbb{P}\big\{ \sup_{j=1}^{J} |X_j| \le z \big\}. \tag{1.12}$$

Now recall Boyd's precise estimate of Mills' ratio $R(x) = e^{x^2/2} \int_x^\infty e^{-t^2/2} \mathrm{d}t$: for all $x \ge 0$,

$$\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{x^2 + 2\pi} + (\pi - 1)x} \le R(x) \le \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{(\pi-2)^2 x^2 + 2\pi} + 2x}. \tag{1.13}$$

Notice that both bounds tend to $(\frac{\pi}{2})^{1/2}$ as x tends to 0. Mill's ratio is clearly directly related to the Laplace transform of g since for any real $\lambda \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}\, e^{-\lambda|g|} = \big(\frac{2}{\pi}\big)^{1/2} R(\lambda). \tag{1.14}$$

It follows that $\mathbb{E}\, e^{-\lambda|g|} \sim (\frac{2}{\pi})^{1/2}\lambda^{-1}$, $\lambda \to \infty$. Further, for all $\lambda > 0$

$$\mathbb{E}\, e^{-\lambda|g|} \leq \min\big(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\lambda\sqrt{\pi}}, 1\big). \tag{1.15}$$

We refer for instance to [40] Section 10.1 for these facts and more details.

Notation–Convention. The letter g is used to denote throughout a standard Gaussian random variable. All Gaussian random variables, Gaussian sequences or processes we consider are implicitely assumed to be *centered*. Further, $g_1, g_2, \ldots$ will always denote a sequence of i.i.d. Gaussian standard random variables, and the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process is denoted by $U(t), t \geq 0$. The notation $f(t) \asymp h(t)$ near $t_0 \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ means that for t in a neighborhood of t_0, $c|h(t)| \leq |f(t)| \leq C|h(t)|$ for some constants $0 < c \leq C < \infty$. Finally, we convince that $\frac{1}{0} = \infty$.

2. Stationary Gaussian Processes with finite decoupling coefficient

Let $\{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be a stationary Gaussian process with continuous sample paths and let $\gamma(u) = \mathbb{E}\, X(0)X(u)$ denote its covariance function.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that* $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\gamma(jb)| < \infty$, *for all* $b > 0$. *Then there exists an absolute constant* K *such that for all* $T > 0$, $a > 0$ *with* $T \geq \varepsilon(a)$,

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{ \sup_{0\leq s,t\leq T} |X(s) - X(t)| \leq a\Big\} \leq \exp\Big\{ -\frac{KT}{\varepsilon(a)p(\varepsilon(a))}\Big\},$$

where $\varepsilon(a) = \min\big\{b > 0 : \delta(b) \geq a\big\}$, $\delta(b) = \min_{u\geq 1}\{\sqrt{2(1-\gamma((ub))}, u \geq 1\}$ *and*

$$p(b) = 1 + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{\big|2\gamma\big(jb\big) - \gamma\big((j-1)b\big) - \gamma\big((j+1)b\big)\big|}{2(1-\gamma(b))}.$$

Remark 2.2. In the case of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, it can be shown that $p(b)$ tends to some positive finite limit as b tends to 0. Indeed,

$$p(b) = 1 + \frac{\big|2 - e^{b/2} - e^{-b/2}\big|}{2(1-e^{-b/2})} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} e^{-jb/2} = 1 + e^{-b}\frac{\big|(1-e^{b/2}) + (1-e^{-b/2})\big|}{2(1-e^{-b/2})^2}.$$

By developing near $b = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(1-e^{b/2}) + (1-e^{-b/2}) &= (1 - [1 + \frac{b}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{b^2}{4}]) + (1 - [1 - \frac{b}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{b^2}{4}]) + \mathcal{O}(b^3)\\ &= -\frac{b^2}{4} + \mathcal{O}(b^3),\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$p(b) \sim 1 + e^{-b}\frac{b^2}{8(1-e^{-b/2})^2} \sim 1 + e^{-b}\frac{b^2}{8(b^2/4)} \sim \frac{3}{2}, \qquad b \to 0.$$

Moreover $\delta(b) = \sqrt{2(1-e^{-b/2})} \sim \sqrt{b}$ as $b \to 0$. Theorem 2.1 thus implies the upper bound part of (1.4).

We begin with recalling some decoupling inequalities ([15], Theorems 1 and 2) due to Klein, Landau and Shucker, and which turn up to be not so known.

Lemma 2.3. *a) Let* $X = \{X_t, t \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ *be a stationary Gaussian process with finite decoupling coefficient* p*, that is:*

$$p = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\mathbb{E}\, X_0 X_k|}{\mathbb{E}\, X_0^2} < \infty. \tag{2.1}$$

Let $\{f_k, k \geq 1\}$ *be a sequence of complex-valued measurable functions. Then for each finite subset* J *of* $\mathbb{Z}^d$*,*

$$\Big|\mathbb{E} \prod_{j\in J} f_j(X_j)\Big| \leq \prod_{j\in J} \big\|f_j(X_0)\big\|_p.$$

b) Let $\{X_t, t \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ *be a stationary Gaussian process, continuous in mean, with Riemann approximable covariance function. Let* V *be a* $\mathbb{C}$*-valued measurable function of a real variable. Assume that* $V(X_0)$ *is integrable. Then, for all bounded measurable subsets* B *of* $\mathbb{R}^d$*,*

$$\Big|\mathbb{E}\, \exp\Big\{ \int_B V(X_t)\mathrm{d}t\Big\}\Big| \leq \big\| \exp\big\{V(X_0)\big\}\big\|_p^{|B|},$$

where

$$p = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\mathbb{E}\,(X_0X_t)}{\mathbb{E}\, X_0^2}\mathrm{d}t < \infty, \tag{2.2}$$

and $|B|$ *denotes the Lebesgue measure of* B*.*

In either case, the proof relies on inequality (1.9). It is of matter to briefly explain its principle. At first, a similar result (see Lemma 4.1) is established for cyclic stationary Gaussian processes. The proof is next achieved by approximating X with cyclic stationary Gaussian processes. A key observation is then that

$$r_N(n) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} r(n+kN), \qquad\qquad r(u) = \mathbb{E}\, X_0 X_u,$$

is, under condition (2.1), an N-periodic covariance function, and $\lim_{N\to\infty} r_N(n) = r(n)$ for all n, which is a remarkable fact. The proof for the continuous parameter case is similar.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Notice that for each fixed real $b > 0$, the Gaussian sequence

$$\xi_b(j) = X(jb) - X((j-1)b), \qquad\qquad j = 1, 2, \ldots$$

is stationary. Let indeed $\ell, u \geq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\, \xi_b(\ell)\xi_b(\ell+u) &= \mathbb{E}\,\big(X((\ell+u)b) - X((\ell+u-1)b)\big)\big(X(\ell b) - X((\ell-1)b)\big) \\ &= 2\gamma\big(ub\big) - \gamma\big((u-1)b\big) - \gamma\big((u+1)b\big), \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

which only depends on u. It has finite decoupling coefficient, and more precisely

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{|\mathbb{E}\,\xi_b(1)\xi_b(j)|}{\mathbb{E}\,\xi_b(1)^2} = 1+\sum_{j=2}^{\infty}\frac{|2\gamma\big(jb\big)-\gamma\big((j-1)b\big)-\gamma\big((j+1)b\big)|}{2(1-\gamma(b))} = p(b)<\infty.$$

Further if F denotes the spectral function of X, $\gamma(u)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{iu\lambda}F(d\lambda)$, then

$$\mathbb{E}\,\xi_b(\ell)\xi_b(\ell+u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\lambda ub}|e^{ib\lambda}-1|^2F(d\lambda). \tag{2.4}$$

And

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{|\mathbb{E}\,\xi_b(1)\xi_b(j)|}{\mathbb{E}\,\xi_b(1)^2} = 1+\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}}\sum_{j=2}^{\infty}e^{-i\lambda ub}|e^{ib\lambda}-1|^2F(d\lambda)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}}|e^{ib\lambda}-1|^2F(d\lambda)}.$$

Let $T\ge b$. Consider on $[0,T]$ the subdivision $t_j=jb$, $0\le j\le n:=\lfloor T/b\rfloor$. We have

$$\|X((j+u)b)-X(jb)\|_2^2 = 2(1-\gamma((ub))\ge 2\min_{u\ge 1}(1-\gamma((ub)) = \delta^2(b).$$

Let $c=\sqrt{2/\pi}$. Let $a>0$ and choose b so that $\delta(b)\ge a$. Let g denote a Gaussian standard random variable. By Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\big\{\max_{1\le j\le n}|\xi_b(j)|\le a\big\} &= \mathbb{E}\prod_{i=1}^{n}\chi_{[-a,a]}(\xi_b(j)) \le \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{P}\big\{|\xi_b(j)|\le a\big\}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p(b)}}\\
&\le \mathbb{P}\big\{|g|\le \frac{a}{\delta(b)}\big\}\Big)^{\frac{n}{p(b)}} = \Big(\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\int_0^{\frac{a}{\delta(b)}}e^{-x^2/2}dx\Big)^{\frac{n}{p(b)}}\\
&\le c^{\frac{n}{p(b)}} = e^{-\frac{\lfloor T/b\rfloor}{p(b)}\log\frac{1}{c}} \le e^{-\frac{T}{2p(b)b}\log\frac{1}{c}}.
\end{aligned}$$

As

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{0\le s,t\le T}|U(s)-U(t)|\le a\Big\}\le \mathbb{P}\Big\{\max_{1\le j\le n}|\xi_b(j)|\le a\Big\}$$

by taking $b=\varepsilon(a)$, we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{0\le s,t\le T}|U(s)-U(t)|\le a\Big\}\le e^{-K\frac{T}{\varepsilon(a)p(\varepsilon(a))}},$$

with $K=\frac12\log\frac1c=\frac14\log\frac{\pi}{2}$. □

Remark 2.4. A direct application of the decoupling inequality to the sequence $X(jb)$ instead of $X(jb)-X((j-1)b)$ only provides a bound with a decoupling coefficient which may tend to infinity when $b\to 0$. So is in particular the case when X is the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process.

We also establish the following general upper bound.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\{X_t, t\in\mathbb{R}^d\}$ be a stationary sample continuous Gaussian process. Assume that condition (2.2) is fulfilled. For any $z>0$, any bounded interval B of $\mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{t\in B}|X_t|\le z\Big\}\le \big(e^p\,\mathbb{P}\{|g|<z\}\big)^{\frac{|B|}{p}}.$$

Proof. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ be measurable, such that $\mathbb{E}\,|f(X_0)| < \infty$, and let λ, θ be positive reals. Applying part b) of Lemma 2.3 with $V(x) = -\lambda f(x)$ gives

$$\mathbb{E}\,\exp\Big\{-\lambda\int_B f(X_t)\mathrm{d}t\Big\} \le \big\|e^{-\lambda f(X_0)}\big\|_p^{|B|} = \big(\mathbb{E}\,e^{-p\lambda f(g)}\big)^{\frac{|B|}{p}}.$$

Thereby,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\Big\{\int_B f(X_t)\mathrm{d}t \le \theta\Big\} &= \mathbb{P}\Big\{-\lambda\int_B f(X_t)\mathrm{d}t \ge -\lambda\theta\Big\}\\ &\le \min\Big(e^{\lambda\theta}\,\mathbb{E}\,\exp\Big\{-\lambda\int_B f(X_t)\mathrm{d}t\Big\}, 1\Big)\\ &\le \min\Big(e^{\lambda\theta}\big(\mathbb{E}\,e^{-p\lambda f(g)}\big)^{\frac{|B|}{p}}, 1\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Apply this to $f(x) = |x|^r, 0 < r < \infty$. Put

$$\|X\|_{r,B} = \Big(\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B |X_t|^r\mathrm{d}t\Big)^{\frac{1}{r}}, \qquad \|X\|_{\infty,B} = \sup_{t\in B}|X_t|. \tag{2.5}$$

Notice first that $\|X\|_{\infty,B} = \lim_{r\to\infty}\|X\|_{r,B}$, almost surely, since X is sample continuous. Take $\theta = z^r|B|$. This gives

$$\mathbb{P}\big\{\|X\|_{r,B} \le z\big\} \le \inf_{\lambda>0} e^{\lambda z^r|B|}\big(\mathbb{E}\,e^{-p\lambda|g|^r}\big)^{\frac{|B|}{p}}.$$

Choose now $\lambda = z^{-r}$. Then

$$\mathbb{P}\big\{\|X\|_{r,B} \le z\big\} \le \big(e^p\,\mathbb{E}\,e^{-p\frac{|g|^r}{z^r}}\big)^{\frac{|B|}{p}}.$$

But

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} e^{-p\frac{|g|^r}{z^r}} \overset{a.s.}{=} \begin{cases}1, & \text{if} \quad |g| < z\\ 0, & \text{if} \quad |g| > z.\end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

Thus p disappears from the limit. By using the dominated convergence theorem, we get

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\,e^{-p\frac{|g|^r}{z^r}} = \mathbb{P}\{|g| < z\}.$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{P}\big\{\|X\|_{\infty,B} \le z\big\} \le \liminf_{r\to\infty}\mathbb{P}\big\{\|X\|_{r,B} \le z\big\} \le \big(e^p\,\mathbb{P}\{|g| < z\}\big)^{\frac{|B|}{p}}.$$

□

Remark 2.6. (**Ergodic maximal equality**) Introduce the ergodic maximal function

$$\mathbb{M}^*(X) = \sup_{T>0} M_T(X) \qquad \text{where} \qquad \mathbb{M}_T(X) = \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T |X_t|\mathrm{d}t.$$

As a special case of a fine result from ergodic theory, namely Marcus-Petersen's maximal equality for ergodic flows ([40], p.133), we have

$$\mathbb{P}\big\{\mathbb{M}_\infty(X) \le \alpha\big\} = 0, \tag{2.7}$$

if $\alpha < \sqrt{2/\pi}$. A slightly less precise result can be directly derived from the first part of the above proof, in which only assumptions of Lemma 2.3, part b) are used. A simple modification of this one, also yields for all $\theta > 0$, B with $|B| > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B |X_t|\mathrm{d}t \le \theta\Big\} \le \min\big(e\big(2/\pi\big)^{1/2}\theta, 1\big)^{\frac{|B|}{p}}. \tag{2.8}$$

Indeed, using (1.15) we have with $c = \big(2/\pi\big)^{1/2}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\Big\{\int_B |X_t|\mathrm{d}t \le z\Big\} &= \mathbb{P}\Big\{-\lambda\int_B |X_t|\mathrm{d}t \ge -\lambda z\Big\} \\ &\le \min\Big(e^{\lambda z}\,\mathbb{E}\exp\Big\{-\lambda\int_B |X_t|\mathrm{d}t\Big\}, 1\Big) \\ &\le \min\Big(e^{\lambda z}\big(\frac{c}{\lambda p}\big)^{\frac{|B|}{p}}, 1\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Letting $z = \theta|B|$, $\lambda = 1/p\theta$, we deduce

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B |X_t|\mathrm{d}t \le z\Big\} \le \min\big(ec\theta, 1\big)^{\frac{|B|}{p}}.$$

By taking $B = [0, T]$, it follows that for all $\theta < \frac{\sqrt{\pi/2}}{e}$, ($e$ being the Neper number)

$$\mathbb{P}\big\{\mathbb{M}_\infty(X) \le \theta\big\} \le \limsup_{T\to\infty}\mathbb{P}\Big\{\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T |X_t|\mathrm{d}t \le \theta\Big\} \le \limsup_{T\to\infty}(e\sqrt{2/\pi}\theta)^{\frac{T}{p}} = 0.$$

As $2e > \pi$, this is slightly less precise than (2.7).

3. Correlated Suprema

Consider now the similar question for correlated suprema. Let $I_1, \ldots, I_J$ be bounded, pairwise disjoint intervals, and associate to them the sets

$$C_j(X) = \big\{\sup_{t\in I_j}|X(t)| \le z_j\big\}, \qquad j = 1, \ldots, J$$

where z_j are positive reals. By Hölder's inequality,

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\bigcap_{j=1}^J C_j(X)\Big\} \le C\prod_{j=1}^J \mathbb{P}\{C_j(X)\}^\sigma, \qquad \sigma = \frac{1}{J}.$$

In general that inequality cannot be improved. In particular there is no reason for σ to be independent of J. However when $X = U$, namely for the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, this can be much improved.

Proposition 3.1. *For any pairwise disjoint bounded intervals $I_1, \ldots, I_J$, any positive reals z_j,*

$$\prod_{j=1}^J \mathbb{P}\big\{\sup_{t\in I_j}|U(t)| \le z_j\big\} \le \mathbb{P}\Big\{\bigcap_{j=1}^J\big\{\sup_{t\in I_j}|U(t)| \le z_j\big\}\Big\} \le \prod_{j=1}^J \mathbb{P}\big\{\sup_{t\in I_j}|U(t)| \le z_j\big\}^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where

$$p = \frac{1 + e^{-|I_1|-\ldots-|I_J|}}{1 - e^{-|I_1|-\ldots-|I_J|}}.$$

Proof. Let $N>0$ be some large integer. Since I_j are bounded, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\{C_j(U)\}=\lim_{N\to\infty}\mathbb{P}\{C_{j,N}(U)\}\qquad\text{where}\quad C_{j,N}(U)=\big\{\sup_{\frac{\ell}{N}\in I_j}|U(\frac{\ell}{N})|\le z_j\big\}.$$

The first inequality follows by proceeding by approximation and using inequality (1.12). Let $\nu_N=\#\{\ell:\frac{\ell}{N}\in\cup_{j=1}^J I_j\}$. By using (1.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\Big\{\bigcap_{j=1}^J C_{j,N}(U)\Big\} &= \mathbb{E}\prod_{j=1}^J\prod_{\frac{\ell}{N}\in I_j}\chi\{|U(\frac{\ell}{N})|\le z_j\}\\ &\le \prod_{j=1}^J\prod_{\frac{\ell}{N}\in I_j}\mathbb{P}\{|U(\frac{\ell}{N})|\le z_j\}^{p_N}\\ \text{(by (1.12))}\qquad &\le \prod_{j=1}^J\mathbb{P}\{\sup_{\frac{\ell}{N}\in I_j}|U(\frac{\ell}{N})|\le z_j\}^{p_N}=\prod_{j=1}^J\mathbb{P}\{C_{j,N}(U)\}^{p_N},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$p_N=\frac{1+e^{-\nu_N/N}}{1-e^{-\nu_N/N}}.$$

But

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{\nu_N}{N}=|I_1|+\ldots+|I_J|.$$

Therefore $p_N\to p$ with N. Letting N tend to infinity in the above inequality achieves the proof. □

Now let $I_j=n_j+I$ where I is some fixed bounded interval and $n_j\uparrow\infty$ with j and such that $n_{j+1}-n_j\ge|I|$, $j\ge1$. Put

$$M(I,n_1,\ldots,n_J)=\sup_{\substack{t\in I,\\ 1\le j\le J}}|U(t+n_j)| \tag{3.1}$$

Theorem 3.2. *(Existence of the Limit) For $z>0$,*

$$\lim_{J\to\infty}\frac{\log\mathbb{P}\{M(I,n_1,\ldots,n_J)\le z\}}{J}=\log\mathbb{P}\big\{\sup_{t\in I}|U(t)|\le z\big\}.$$

Proof. We have from Proposition 3.1, for $J\ge1$,

$$\mathbb{P}\big\{\sup_{t\in I}|U(t)|\le z\big\}^J\le\mathbb{P}\Big\{M(I,n_1,\ldots,n_J)\le z\Big\}\le\mathbb{P}\big\{\sup_{t\in I}|U(t)|\le z\big\}^{J/p_J},$$

where $p_J=\frac{1+e^{-J|I|}}{1-e^{-J|I|}}$. Taking logarithms and using the fact that $p_J\to1$ with J gives the result. □

One can also establish that

Corollary 3.3. *For $z>0$,*

$$\lim_{J\to\infty}\frac{\log\mathbb{P}\{M(I,n_1,\ldots,n_J)\le z\}}{J}=\inf_{J\ge1}\frac{\log\mathbb{P}\{M(I,n_1,\ldots,n_J)\le z\}}{J},$$

where $M(I,n_1,\ldots,n_J)$ is defined in (3.1).

Introduce a notion. Let $\underline{c} = \{c_n, n \geq 1\}$ be positive reals tending to $c \geq 1$. We say that a sequence $\{\varphi_n, n \geq 1\}$ of real numbers is $\underline{c}$-subadditive, if

$$\varphi_{n_1+\ldots+n_k} \leq c_{n_1+\ldots+n_k}(\varphi_{n_1} + \ldots + \varphi_{n_k})$$

for all integers $n_1, \ldots, n_k$, $k \geq 1$.

Lemma 3.4. *(Extended Subadditive Lemma) If $\{\varphi_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a $\underline{c}$-subadditive sequence of real numbers, then*

$$\inf_{n\geq 1} \frac{\varphi_n}{n} \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{\varphi_n}{n} \leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\varphi_n}{n} \leq c^2 \inf_{n\geq 1} \frac{\varphi_n}{n}.$$

When $c_n \equiv 1$, this is a well-known device having many applications, in ergodic theory notably.

Proof. It is a simple modification of the classical proof of the case $c_n \equiv 1$. Fix an arbitrary positive integer N and write $n = j_n N + r_n$ with $1 \leq r_n \leq N$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\inf_{n\geq 1} \frac{\varphi_n}{n} \leq \frac{\varphi_n}{n} &\leq c_{j_nN+r_n} \frac{\varphi_{j_nN} + \varphi_{r_n}}{n} \leq c_{j_nN+r_n} \frac{\varphi_{j_nN}}{j_nN} + c_{j_nN+r_n} \frac{\varphi_{r_n}}{n} \\
&\leq c_{j_nN+r_n} c_{j_nN} \frac{j_n\varphi_N}{j_nN} + c_{j_nN+r_n} \frac{\varphi_{r_n}}{n} \\
&\leq c_{j_nN+r_n} c_{j_nN} \frac{\varphi_N}{N} + c_{j_nN+r_n} \big(\max_{r\leq N} |\varphi_r|\big)/n.
\end{aligned}$$

When n tends to infinity, we have that $\frac{j_n}{n} \to \frac{1}{N}$. As $c_{j_nN+r_n}.c_{j_nN} \to c^2$, we get

$$\inf_{n\geq 1} \frac{\varphi_n}{n} \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{\varphi_n}{n} \leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\varphi_n}{n} \leq c^2 \frac{\varphi_N}{N}.$$

Since N was arbitrary, the lemma is proved. □

Proof of Corollary 3.3. Apply this to $\varphi_J = \log \mathbb{P}\{M(I, n_1, \ldots, n_J) \leq z\}$. By Corollary 3.2 and stationarity,

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{J+K} &= \log \mathbb{P}\{\sup_{j\leq J+K} \sup_{t\in I} |U(n_j+t)| \leq z\} \leq \frac{1}{p_{J+K}} \log \mathbb{P}\{\sup_{t\in I} |U(t)| \leq z\}^{J+K} \\
&= \frac{1}{p_{J+K}} \log \Big(\prod_{j\leq J} \mathbb{P}\{\sup_{t\in I} |U(n_j+t)| \leq z\} \cdot \prod_{j\leq K} \mathbb{P}\{\sup_{t\in I} |U(n_j+t)| \leq z\}\Big) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{p_{J+K}} \log \mathbb{P}\Big\{ \sup_{j\leq J} \sup_{t\in I} |U(n_j+t)| \leq z \Big\} \mathbb{P}\Big\{ \sup_{j\leq K} \sup_{t\in I} |U(n_j+t)| \leq z \Big\} \\
&= \frac{1}{p_{J+K}} (\varphi_J + \varphi_K). \qquad (3.2)
\end{aligned}$$

But $p_J = \frac{1+e^{-J|I|}}{1-e^{-J|I|}}$. Similarly, $\varphi_{J_1+\ldots+J_s} \leq \frac{1}{p_{J_1+\ldots+J_s}}(\varphi_{J_1} + \ldots + \varphi_{J_s})$. Thus $\{g_n, n \geq 1\}$ is $\underline{c}$-subadditive with $\underline{c} = \{p_J, J \geq 1\}$. Now $p_J = \frac{1+e^{-J|I|}}{1-e^{-J|I|}} \to 1$ as J tends to infinity. By Lemma 3.4, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\inf_{J\geq 1} \frac{\log \mathbb{P}\{M(I, n_1, \ldots, n_J) \leq z\}}{J} &\leq \liminf_{J\to\infty} \frac{\log \mathbb{P}\{M(I, n_1, \ldots, n_J) \leq z\}}{J} \\
&\leq \limsup_{J\to\infty} \frac{\log \mathbb{P}\{M(I, n_1, \ldots, n_J) \leq z\}}{J} \\
&\leq \inf_{J\geq 1} \frac{\log \mathbb{P}\{M(I, n_1, \ldots, n_J) \leq z\}}{J}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

4. Cyclic Gaussian Processes

As mentionned in Section 2, these processes played a key role in [15]. The following lemma, which we state for our need is the crux of the proof of Lemma 2.3. Although it is valid for cyclic stationary Gaussian processes $\{X_t, t\in\mathbb{R}^d\}$ with an arbitrary period $(b_1,\ldots,b_d)$, we state it in the standard case of period $(1,\ldots,1)$, namely with fundamental index $\mathbb{T}^d=\mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{Z}^d=[0,1[^d$.

Lemma 4.1. ([15], Theorem 3) *Let $\{X_t, t\in\mathbb{R}^d\}$ be a 1-periodic stationary Gaussian process, continuous in quadratic mean. Let $V:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{C}$ be measurable and such that $V(X_0)$ is integrable. Then, for all measurable subsets B of $\mathbb{T}^d$,*

$$\Big|\mathbb{E}\Big(\exp\Big\{\int_B V(X_t)\Big\}\Big)\Big|\le \big\|\exp(V(X_0))\big\|_p^{|B|}$$

where

$$p=\int_0^1\frac{\big|\mathbb{E}\,X_0X_t\big|}{\mathbb{E}\,X_0^2}\mathrm{d}t.$$

Proposition 4.2. *Let $Y_t=\sum_{n=1}^N a_n\big(g_n^1\cos 2\pi nt+g_n^2\sin 2\pi nt\big)$, $t\in\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}=[0,1[$, where a_n are reals and g_n^1, g_n^2 are mutually independent Gaussian standard random variables. Let $s^2=\sum_{n=1}^N a_n^2$. For $\theta>0$ and $B\subset\mathbb{T}$ interval of length $|B|$,*

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{t\in B}|Y_t|\le\theta\Big\}\le\Big(e^p\,\mathbb{P}\Big\{|g|<\frac{\theta}{s}\Big\}\Big)^{\frac{|B|}{p}},$$

where

$$p=\int_0^1\frac{\big|\sum_{n=1}^N a_n^2\cos 2\pi nt\big|}{\sum_{n=1}^N a_n^2}\mathrm{d}t.$$

Proof. Notice that $\mathbb{E}\,Y_sY_t=\sum_{n=1}^N a_n^2\cos 2\pi n(s-t)$. The proof is very similar to that of Theorem 2.5, except that we have a different decoupling coefficient:

$$p=\int_0^1\frac{|\mathbb{E}\,Y_0Y_t|}{\mathbb{E}\,Y_0^2}\mathrm{d}t=\int_0^1\frac{\big|\sum_{n=1}^N a_n^2\cos 2\pi nt\big|}{\sum_{n=1}^N a_n^2}\mathrm{d}t.$$

We only indicate the necessary changes. The proof is identical with Y_t in place of X_t until (2.5), where there is a slight modification due to the fact that $Y_0=\sum_{n=1}^N a_ng_n^1\overset{\mathcal{D}}{=}sg$, ($s^2=\sum_{n=1}^N a_n^2$). Using Tchebycheff's inequality and Lemma 4.1, gives

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\Big\{\int_B f(Y_t)\mathrm{d}t\le\theta\Big\}&\le\min\Big(e^{\lambda\theta}\,\mathbb{E}\exp\Big\{-\lambda\int_B f(Y_t)\mathrm{d}t\Big\},1\Big)\\ &\le\min\Big(e^{\lambda\theta}\big(\mathbb{E}\,e^{-p\lambda f(sg)}\big)^{\frac{|B|}{p}},1\Big).\end{aligned}\tag{4.1}$$

Applying this with $f(x)=|x|^r$, $\theta=z^r|B|$, $\lambda=z^{-r}$ gives in exactly the same manner, with the notation (2.5),

$$\mathbb{P}\big\{\|Y\|_{r,B}\le z\big\}\quad\le\quad\big(e^p\,\mathbb{E}\,e^{-p(\frac{s|g|}{z})^r}\big)^{\frac{|B|}{p}}.$$

Hence, by using (2.6),

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{t\in B}|Y_t|\le z\Big\}\le\liminf_{r\to\infty}\mathbb{P}\big\{\|Y\|_{r,B}\le z\big\}\le\big(e^p\,\mathbb{P}\{|sg|<z\}\big)^{\frac{|B|}{p}}.$$

□

An immediate consequence of Proposition 4.2 is that

Corollary 4.3. *With the notation from Proposition 4.2, for $z>0$,*

$$\int_0^1\Big|\sum_{n=1}^N a_n^2\cos 2\pi nt\Big|\mathrm{d}t\ge\Big(\sum_{n=1}^N a_n^2\Big)\ \frac{\log\frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\{|g|<\frac{z}{s}\}}}{\log\frac{e}{\mathbb{P}\{\|Y\|_{\infty,B}\le z\}^{\frac{1}{|B|}}}}.$$

Remark 4.4. **(Littlewood hypothesis)** Let $n_1<n_2<\dots$ be integers. Consider the (generalized) Lebesgue constants

$$\vartheta_N=\int_0^1\Big|\sum_{k=1}^N e^{2i\pi n_k t}\Big|\mathrm{d}t,\qquad N=1,2,\dots$$

Littlewood hypothesis ([28] p.12 for instance) essentially concerns the behavior of Lebesgue constants of arbitrary ordered trigonometric systems, and can be formulated as follows: for any increasing sequence of integers,

$$\vartheta_N\ge C\log N,$$

where $C>0$ is an absolute constant. This was proved independently by Konyagin [16] and McGehee, Pigno and Smith [25] in 1981. Consideration of the Dirichlet kernel shows that the above lower bound is best possible. See [42] p. 67.

We shall deduce from Corollary 4.3

Corollary 4.5. *For all positive integers N, all $z>0$ and $B\subset\mathbb{T}$ interval,*

$$\vartheta_N\ge N|B|\left(\frac{\log\frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\{|g|<z\}}}{\log\frac{e^{|B|}}{\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{t\in B}\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\Big|\sum_{1\le k\le N}(g_k^1\cos 2\pi n_k t+g_k^2\sin 2\pi n_k t)\Big|\le z\Big\}}}\right).$$

Proof. Apply Corollary 4.3 with the choice $a_n=1/\sqrt{N}$, if $n=n_k$ for some $k\le N$, and equal to 0 otherwise. We deduce

$$\vartheta_N\ge N\ \frac{\log\frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\{|g|<z\}}}{\log\frac{e}{\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{t\in B}\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\Big|\sum_{1\le k\le N}(g_k^1\cos 2\pi n_k t+g_k^2\sin 2\pi n_k t)\Big|\le z\Big\}^{\frac{1}{|B|}}}}$$

as claimed. □

The above link between L^1-norms of trigonometric sums and Gaussian random trigonometric sums, seems unexpected. This suggests to examine it more closely using results in [41],[39]. This question will be investigated elsewhere. We conclude with a remarkable example in which Anderson's inequality is used and Talagrand's well-known lower bound since the corresponding entropy numbers are very simple.

Corollary 4.6. *There exists an absolute constant C such that for any set of integers J,*

$$\int_0^1 \big|\sum_{n\in J}\frac{1}{n^2}\cos nt\big|\mathrm{d}t \ge C\big(\sum_{n\in J}\frac{1}{n^2}\big)^2.$$

Proof. Let

$$X_t=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n}\big(g_k^1\cos nt+g_k^2\sin nt\big),\qquad Y_t=\sum_{n\in J}\frac{1}{n}\big(g_n^1\cos nt+g_n^2\sin nt\big)$$

Then $\mathbb{E}\,X_s^2=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}=\frac{\pi^2}{6}$ and

$$\mathbb{E}\,X_sX_t=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\cos n(s-t)}{n^2}=\frac{3|s-t|^2-6\pi|s-t|+2\pi^2}{12}.$$

Thus $d^2(s,t)=\mathbb{E}\,(X_s-X_t)^2=\pi|s-t|-\frac{1}{2}|s-t|^2\sim\pi|s-t|$ as $|s-t|\to 0$. It follows that $N([0,1],d,\varepsilon)\asymp\varepsilon^{-2}$. By using Talagrand's lower bound (see [33]),

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{0\le t\le 1}|X_t|\le\varepsilon\Big\}\ge e^{-K\varepsilon^{-2}}.$$

Now since Y and $X-Y$ are independent, by using Anderson's inequality, we get

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{0\le t\le 1}|X_t|\le\varepsilon\Big\}\le\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{0\le t\le 1}|Y_t|\le\varepsilon\Big\}.$$

Therefore

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{0\le t\le 1}|Y_t|\le\varepsilon\Big\}\ge e^{-K\varepsilon^{-2}}.$$

We have $Y_0=\sum_{n\in J}\frac{1}{n}g_n^1$ and $s(J)=\big(\sum_{n\in J}\frac{1}{n^2}\big)^{1/2}$,

$$p=s(J)^{-2}\int_0^1\big|\sum_{n\in J}\frac{1}{n^2}\cos nt\big|\mathrm{d}t.$$

Applying Proposition 4.2 with $B=[0,1]$ gives,

$$e^{-K\theta^{-2}}\le\mathbb{P}\big\{\sup_{0\le t\le 1}|Y_t|\le\theta\big\}\le e\,\mathbb{P}\big\{|g|<\frac{\theta}{s(J)}\big\}^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

By taking logarithms in both sides, we get

$$p\ge\frac{\log\dfrac{1}{\mathbb{P}\{|g|<\frac{\theta}{s(J)}\}}}{1+K\theta^{-2}}.$$

Consequently,

$$\int_0^1\big|\sum_{n\in J}\frac{1}{n^2}\cos nt\big|\mathrm{d}t\ge\frac{s(J)^2\theta^2}{\theta^2+K}\log\frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\{|g|<\theta/s(J)\}}.$$

In particular, if $\theta=s(J)$,

$$\int_0^1\big|\sum_{n\in J}\frac{1}{n^2}\cos nt\big|\mathrm{d}t\ge C\frac{s(J)^4}{s(J)^2+K}\ge C\big(\sum_{n\in J}\frac{1}{n^2}\big)^2,$$

since $s(J)^2\le\pi^2/6$. □

5. Stationary sequences with Szegö spectral type conditions

Let $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ be a Gaussian vector with associated covariance matrix (or Gram matrix) $\Gamma = \{\gamma_{i,j}\}_{1\le i,j\le n}$. Assume that Γ is invertible and let $\Gamma_j = \Gamma(X_1, \ldots, X_j)$ be the j-th principal minor of Γ. Define $\rho_j = \det(\Gamma_{j-1})/\det(\Gamma_j)$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, $\Gamma_0 = 1$. By Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process we obtain from $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ an orthogonal sequence $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$, which may be expressed as follows

$$Y_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Gamma_{j-1}\Gamma_j}} \begin{vmatrix} \gamma_{1,1} & \gamma_{2,1} & \cdots & \gamma_{j,1} \\ \gamma_{1,2} & \gamma_{2,2} & \cdots & \gamma_{j,2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \gamma_{1,j-1} & \gamma_{2,j-1} & \cdots & \gamma_{j,j-1} \\ X_1 & X_2 & \cdots & X_j \end{vmatrix} \qquad j = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Developing along the last line gives

$$Y_j = L(X_1, \ldots, X_{j-1}) + \rho_j X_j \qquad j = 1, \ldots, n.$$

From this and (1.12), we easily deduce the following basic estimate: for $z_j > 0$ arbitrary,

$$\prod_{j=1}^n \Big(\int_{-z_j}^{z_j} e^{-x^2/2} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \Big) \le \mathbb{P}\Big\{ \sup_{j=1}^n \frac{|X_j|}{z_j} \le 1 \Big\} \le \prod_{j=1}^n \Big(\int_{-z_j\sqrt{\rho_j}}^{z_j\sqrt{\rho_j}} e^{-x^2/2} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \Big). \tag{5.1}$$

The search of suitable bounds of ρ_j is consequently a fundamental question. There are some special inequalities involving the Gram determinants $\det(\Gamma_j)$. For instance ([18], p.382–383),

$$\det \Gamma(X_1, \ldots, X_j) \le \prod_{i=1}^j \|X_i\|_2^2. \tag{5.2}$$

$$\det \Gamma(X_1, \ldots, X_j) \le \det \Gamma(X_1, \ldots, X_k) \det \Gamma(X_{k+1}, \ldots, X_j). \tag{5.3}$$

Hence,

$$\rho_j \ge \frac{1}{\|X_j\|_2^2}. \tag{5.4}$$

See the upper bound (6.3), see also [9],[10]. If $\{X_j, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a Gaussian stationary sequence with spectral function F, it is natural to wonder which spectral conditions may be imposed on F to get upper and lower bounds to the probability $\mathbb{P}\big\{ \sup_{j=1}^n |X_j| \le z \big\}$ (or to its logarithm), which are comparable and remain valid for some range of values of type $0 < z \le z_0$, $n \ge n_0$. Let

$$c_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-in\lambda} F(\mathrm{d}\lambda), \tag{5.5}$$

so that $\mathbb{E}\, X_j X_k = c_{j-k}$. The corresponding Hermitian forms are also called the Toeplitz forms associated with F, and we have the representation

$$T_n = \sum_{\mu,\nu=0}^n c_{\nu-\mu} u_\mu \bar{u}_\nu = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \big| u_0 + u_1 e^{i\lambda} + u_2 e^{2i\lambda} + \ldots u_n e^{in\lambda} \big|^2 F(\mathrm{d}\lambda).$$

Recall that F is said of finite type if its range consists of a finite number of values. In the opposite case, it is called of infinite type. The forms T_n are positive definite unless F is of finite type ([12], §1.11). If F is of infinite type, all determinants of the forms T_n are positive, namely $\det \Gamma_n > 0$ for all n.

Theorem 5.1. *Assume F is of infinite type. Let f be the Radon-Nycodim derivative of the absolutely continuous part of F, and put*

$$G(f) = \begin{cases} \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\log f(t)\mathrm{d}t\right\} & \text{if } \log f(t) \text{ is integrable} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Then for all n and $z > 0$,

$$\Big(\int_{-z}^{z} e^{-x^2/2}\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\Big)^n \le \mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{j=1}^{n}|X_j| \le z\Big\} \le \Big(\int_{-z/\sqrt{G(f)}}^{z/\sqrt{G(f)}} e^{-x^2/2}\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\Big)^n.$$

Remark 5.2. More explicit formulations can be deduced from estimate (1.13). The quantity $\exp\left\{\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\log f(t)\mathrm{d}t\right\}$ is by definition the geometric mean of f. The condition that $\log f$ be integrable is satisfied by a remarkable class of functions. Let $u(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ be an analytic function, regular in the open unit disk $|z| < 1$ and belonging to H_2, namely the integral

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}|u(re^{it})|^2\mathrm{d}t$$

is bounded for every $r < 1$. This is equivalent to the fact that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}|c_n|^2 < \infty$. Then the limit

$$\lim_{r\to 1-0} u(re^{it}) = h(t)$$

exists for almost every t. Let $f(t) = |h(t)|$. We furthermore have that $\log f$ is (Lebesgue) integrable and (see [12], §1.13),

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}|\log|f(e^{it})||\mathrm{d}t \le \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}|c_n|^2.$$

Proof. According to (1.12), only the second inequality has to be proven. We have the explicit formula

$$\frac{1}{\rho_j} = \frac{\det(\Gamma_j)}{\det(\Gamma_{j-1})} = \min_p \int |p(e^{i\lambda}|^2\mu(\mathrm{d}\lambda), \tag{5.6}$$

where the minimum is taken over all polynomials p of degree $j-1$, of type $a_0 + a_1 z + \ldots + a_j z^{j-1}$ with $|a_{j-1}| = 1$. See ([12] §3.1.a and §2.2.a). Further, when j tends to infinity, these minima are decreasing and in fact

$$\frac{\det(\Gamma_j)}{\det(\Gamma_{j-1})} \downarrow \exp\Big\{\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\log f(t)\mathrm{d}t\Big\}.$$

Consequently, by (5.1) and monotonicity,

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{j=1}^{n}|X_j| \le z\Big\} \le \Big(\int_{-z/\sqrt{G(f)}}^{z/\sqrt{G(f)}} e^{-x^2/2}\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\Big)^n. \tag{5.7}$$

□

Remark 5.3. A direct use of (1.11) would have provided a less precise result. Much later, Szegö also showed that a rate of convergence can be associated to (1.11) in presence of reasonable smoothness assumptions. Suppose that f has a derivative which satisfies a Lipschitz condition of order α, $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\Big[\log\det\Gamma_n - \frac{n+1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\log f(t)\mathrm{d}t\Big] = \frac{1}{\pi}\int\int|h(z)|^2\mathrm{d}\sigma, \tag{5.8}$$

where the function $h(z)$ is analytic in z and is defined by the equality

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\log f(\lambda)\frac{1+ze^{-i\lambda}}{1-ze^{-i\lambda}}\mathrm{d}\lambda,$$

and the integration in the right-handside in (5.8) is along the unit circle. See [20] for some generalization.

Example 5.4. Assume that the spectral density exists, $f(t) = a_0 + \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}*} a_n e^{int}$, $a_{-n} = a_{|n|}$, and

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}*}|a_n| < |a_0|. \tag{5.9}$$

Let m and M denote the essential lower and upper bound f respectively. Then $m > 0$. The conclusion of Theorem 5.1 holds. The link between a (square integrable) spectral function and its corresponding correlation function being given by

$$f(t) = \mathbb{E}\,X_0^2 + \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}*}(\mathbb{E}\,X_0X_{|n|})e^{int}, \tag{5.10}$$

this holds in particular for the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck sequence $\{U(n), n \ge 0\}$. Indeed, in this case $f(t) = 1 + \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}*} e^{-|n|/2}e^{int}$.

Remark 5.5. In the lacunary case $f(t) = a_0 + \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}*} a_k e^{in_kt}$, $n_k = \lambda^k$, $\lambda > 1$, $a_{-k} = a_{|k|}$, condition $m > 0$ is equivalent to (5.9), since the maxima of the polynomials $\sum_{|k|\le N} a_k e^{in_kt}$ verify

$$\sup_{-\pi\le t\le\pi}\Big|\sum_{|k|\le N} a_k e^{in_kt}\Big| = \sum_{|k|\le N}|a_k|.$$

This follows from a well-known theorem of Sidon.

Example 5.6. Let $b > 0$, and consider again $Y_j = U(jb) - U((j-1)b)$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots$. We compute the corresponding geometric mean. Recall that

$$\mathbb{E}\,Y_\ell Y_{\ell+u} = \begin{cases} 2(1-e^{-b/2}) & \text{if } u = 0,\\ (2-e^{b/2}-e^{-b/2})e^{-ub/2} & \text{if } u \ge 1.\end{cases} \tag{5.11}$$

Let $r = e^{-b/2}$. Then $\delta := 2 - e^{b/2} - e^{-b/2} = -\frac{(1-r)^2}{r} \sim -\frac{b^2}{4} + \mathcal{O}(b^3)$, as $b \to 0$. Now introduce the Poisson kernel

$$g(t) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} r^{|n|}e^{int} = \frac{1-r^2}{1-2r\cos x + r^2}, \qquad 0 < r < 1. \tag{5.12}$$

It is well-known that $\log g(t)$ is integrable. Further,

$$\int_0^{\pi} \log(1-2r\cos x+r^2)\mathrm{d}x = 0, \qquad (= \pi\log r^2 \text{ if } r>1). \tag{5.13}$$

The spectral function, call it $h(t)$, verifies

$$\begin{aligned} h(t) &= 2(1-r)+\delta\sum_{u\in\mathbb{Z}^*} r^{|u|}e^{iut} = 2(1-r)-\delta+\delta\sum_{u\in\mathbb{Z}} r^{|n|}e^{iut} \\ &= 2(1-r)+\frac{(1-r)^2}{r}-\frac{(1-r)^2}{r}\frac{(1-r^2)}{1-2r\cos x+r^2} \\ &= \frac{1-r^2}{r}\Big(1-\frac{(1-r)^2}{1-2r\cos x+r^2}\Big) \\ &= \frac{2(1-r^2)(1-\cos x)}{1-2r\cos x+r^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

We have from (5.13)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\log h(t)\mathrm{d}t &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\log\big(2(1-r^2)(1-\cos x)\big)\mathrm{d}t \\ &= 2\pi\log[2(1-r^2)]+\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\log(2\sin^2\frac{t}{2})\mathrm{d}t \\ &= 2\pi\log[4(1-r^2)]+4\int_0^{\pi}\log\sin\frac{t}{2}\mathrm{d}t. \end{aligned}$$

But $\int_0^{\pi}\log\sin\frac{t}{2}\mathrm{d}t = -\pi\log 2$. Therefore

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\log h(t)\mathrm{d}t = \log[2(1-r^2)]+\log 2-2\log 2 = \log(1-r^2).$$

Thus $G(h) = 1-r^2 = 1-e^{-b}$ and by Theorem 5.1,

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{j=1}^{n}\big|U(jb)-U((j-1)b)\big|\le z\Big\} \le \Big(\int_{-\frac{z}{\sqrt{1-e^{-b}}}}^{\frac{z}{\sqrt{1-e^{-b}}}} e^{-x^2/2}\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\Big)^n. \tag{5.15}$$

More generally, let $\xi(t), t\ge 0$ be a Gaussian stationary process and b being a positive real, let $\xi_b(j) = \xi((j+1)b)-\xi(jb)$, $j=0,1,\ldots$ Let also $\gamma(h) = \mathbb{E}\,\xi(0)\xi(h)$, $\gamma(0)=1$, $\sigma(h) = \sqrt{2(1-\gamma(h)}$.

Proposition 5.7. *Assume that $\gamma(h)$ is convex decreasing and let $f=-\gamma'$. Then $f(t) = 2\sin(\frac{t}{2})\,g(t)$ where*

$$g(t)\asymp \frac{\sigma^2(b)+\sigma^2(2b)+\ldots+\sigma^2((m-1)b)}{m}+\sigma^2(mb)$$

as $t\to+0$, and we write $m = \lfloor\frac{\pi}{|t|}\rfloor$ for brevity. Further $\log f$ is integrable.

Proof. Set $\Delta_n = \sigma^2(nb)-\sigma^2((n-1)b)$, $n=1,2,\ldots$, $\Delta_0=0$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}\,\xi_b(0)\xi_b(n) = \frac{1}{2}\big\{-2\sigma^2(nb)+\sigma^2((n-1)b)+\sigma^2((n+1)b)\big\} = \frac{1}{2}\big\{\Delta_{n+1}-\Delta_n\big\},$$

and $f(t) = \mathbb{E}\,\xi_b(0) + \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}*}(\mathbb{E}\,\xi_b(0)\xi_b(|n|))e^{int} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(\Delta_{n+1} - \Delta_n)\cos nt$. By using Abel summation and the relation $\cos jt - \cos(j+1)t = 2\sin\frac{t}{2}\,\sin\frac{(2j+1)t}{2}$, $f(t)$ can be rewritten as $f(t) = 2\sin\frac{t}{2}\,g(t)$ where

$$g(t) = \Delta_1\sin\frac{3t}{2} + \Delta_2\sin\frac{5t}{2} + \ldots$$

As σ^2 is concave increasing, it follows that $\Delta_1 \geq \Delta_2 \geq \ldots$ The behavior of sine series with non increasing coefficients were studied by Salem. We refer to Popov's article [30] for instance, for the result below (Telyakovskii's estimate) and recent sharpenings,

$$g(t) \asymp t\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor\frac{\pi}{|t|}\rfloor} k\Delta_k \qquad t\to +0.$$

The constants involved in the symbol $\asymp$ are absolute. By using again Abel summation,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} k\Delta_k = \sigma^2(b) + \sigma^2(2b) + \ldots + \sigma^2((m-1)b) + m\sigma^2(mb).$$

Letting $m = \lfloor\frac{\pi}{|t|}\rfloor$, we deduce

$$g(t) \asymp \frac{\sigma^2(b) + \sigma^2(2b) + \ldots + \sigma^2((m-1)b)}{m} + \sigma^2(mb),$$

as $t\to +0$. Therefore

$$f(t) \asymp \sin\frac{t}{2} \qquad t\to +0.$$

It follows that $\log f$ is integrable, as claimed. □

Remark 5.8. Assume f be integrable, $f \not\equiv 0$. The condition that $\log f$ be integrable characterizes the fact that there exists an analytic function $h(z)$ of the class H_2 such that $f(t) = |h(z)|^2$, $z = e^{it}$. This is well-known extension of Fejér-Riesz's representation theorem for non negative trigonometric polynomials. It also characterizes the property that $\{X_j, j\in\mathbb{Z}\}$ be non-deterministic.

We conclude this section with an abstract and less handable reformulation of Theorem 5.1. Recall that $\Gamma_j = \Gamma(X_1,\ldots,X_j)$. Let E_k be the subspace of L^2 linearly generated by $X_1,\ldots,X_{j-1}$ and put

$$\vartheta_j = \|X_j - E_{j-1}\|,$$

namely the distance from X_j to E_{j-1}.

Proposition 5.9. *i) Let $(X_1,\ldots,X_n)$ be Gaussian with invertible covariance matrix. Then*

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{j=1}^{n}\frac{|X_j|}{z_j} \leq 1\Big\} \leq \prod_{j=1}^{n}\Big(\int_{-\frac{z_j}{\vartheta_j}}^{\frac{z_j}{\vartheta_j}} e^{-x^2/2}\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\Big).$$

ii) Let $\{X_j, j\in\mathbb{Z}\}$ be a Gaussian stationary seqence having an absolutely continuous spectrum, with spectral density function f. Then $\vartheta_j^{-2} = \sum_{k=0}^{j}|\varphi_k(0)|^2$ where $\{\varphi_k, k\in\mathbb{Z}\}$ is the orthonormal sequence of polynomials associated to the weight function $f(x)$.

Proof. First notice that

$$\Gamma_j = \Gamma_{j-1}\vartheta_j^2. \tag{5.16}$$

For a reference, see [1] p.13. According to (5.1),

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{j=1}^{n}\frac{|X_j|}{z_j}\le 1\Big\}\le\prod_{j=1}^{n}\Big(\int_{-\frac{z_j}{\vartheta_j}}^{\frac{z_j}{\vartheta_j}} e^{-x^2/2}\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\Big).$$

As to b), this follows from [12], (10) p.40. □

See also [21], Proposition 3, Section 3 where a more complicated proof is given. For applications of strong Szegö limit theorems to linear prediction of stationary processes, we refer to Chapter 10 of [12], which is entirely devoted to this question.

6. Geršgorin's Disks and Matrices with Dominant Principal Diagonal

In this part, we are rather concerned with the non-stationary case. For an important class of matrices the parameters ρ_j in (5.1) turn up to be easily controlable. An $n\times n$ matrix $A=\{a_{i,j}, 1\le i,j\le n\}$ has dominant principal diagonal if

$$|a_{i,i}| > \sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{n}|a_{i,j}|, \qquad i=1,2,\ldots,n. \tag{6.1}$$

This notion already appeared in Minkowski and Hadamard works (see the overview in [34]). Matrices with dominant principal diagonal define a quite remarkable class: they are invertible and their determinants are easy to estimate. Put for $i=1,2,\ldots,n$,

$$A_i=\sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{n}|a_{i,j}|, \qquad m_i=|a_{i,i}|-A_i, \qquad M_i=|a_{i,i}|+A_i.$$

The following basic estimate is due to Price ([31], Theorem 1), the lower bound being previously proved by Ostrowski in [29], (see also [6],[11],[14],[17] for various refinements).

$$0<m_1\ldots m_n\le|\det(A)|\le M_1\ldots M_n. \tag{6.2}$$

If A is a Gram matrix, it follows from this and inequality (5.4) that

$$\rho_j\le\frac{(1+\tau)^{j-1}}{a_{j,j}}, \qquad \text{where}\quad \tau=\max_i\frac{A_i}{a_{i,i}}<1. \tag{6.3}$$

Then by (6.3) and (5.1),

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{j=1}^{n}\frac{|X_j|}{z_j}\le 1\Big\}\le\prod_{j=1}^{n}\Big(\int_{-\frac{z_j}{\sqrt{a_{j,j}}}(1+\tau)^{\frac{j-1}{2}}}^{\frac{z_j}{\sqrt{a_{j,j}}}(1+\tau)^{\frac{j-1}{2}}} e^{-x^2/2}\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\Big). \tag{6.4}$$

This can be however improved.

Proposition 6.1. *Let* $(X_1,\dots,X_n)$ *be a Gaussian vector and assume that for some* $r<1$,

$$\sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{n} |\mathbb{E}\, X_iX_j| \le r\,\mathbb{E}\, X_i^2, \qquad i=1,\dots,n. \tag{6.5}$$

Then,

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{j=1}^{n}|X_j|\le z\Big\}\le \prod_{j=1}^{n}\mathbb{P}\Big\{|X_j|\le \frac{z}{\sqrt{1-r}}\Big\}.$$

Our result much improves Theorem 2.2 in [23] where only a bound of $\sup_{j=1}^n X_j$ is given under similar assumptions (assumption (2.4) has to be modified). The proof uses the following general estimate for quadratic forms

Lemma 6.2.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2\Big(a_{i,i}+\sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{n}|a_{i,j}|\Big)\ge \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} x_ix_ja_{i,j}\ge \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2\Big(a_{i,i}-\sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{n}|a_{i,j}|\Big).$$

Proof. At first we have

$$\Big|\sum_{1\le i<j\le n} x_ix_ja_{i,j}\Big|\le \sum_{1\le i<j\le n}\Big(\frac{x_i^2+x_j^2}{2}\Big)|a_{i,j}|\le \frac12\sum_{j=1}^{n}x_j^2\Big(\sum_{\substack{\ell=1\\ \ell\neq j}}^{n}|a_{j,\ell}|\Big).$$

And next

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}x_ix_ja_{i,j}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_i^2a_{i,i}+2\sum_{1\le i<j\le n}x_ix_ja_{i,j}\ge \sum_{i=1}^{n}x_i^2\Big(a_{i,i}-\sum_{\substack{\ell=1\\ \ell\neq i}}^{n}|a_{i,\ell}|\Big).$$

This yields the right-inequality. The left-one follows similarly. □

Proof of Proposition 6.1. Let $0<\alpha<1-r$, $\underline{Y}=\{\sqrt{\alpha}\,a_{i,i}\,g_i, 1\le i\le n\}$ and $B=\{\mathbb{E}\,X_iX_j-\mathbb{E}\,Y_iY_j, 1\le i,j\le n\}$. By applying Lemma 6.2 to B, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}x_ix_j(\mathbb{E}\,X_iX_j-\mathbb{E}\,Y_iY_j) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n}x_ix_jb_{i,j}=\sum_{=1}^{n}x_i^2\Big(a_{i,i}(1-\alpha)-\sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{n}|a_{i,j}|\Big)\\ &\ge \sum_{i=1}^{n}x_i^2a_{i,i}(1-\alpha-r)\ge 0.\end{aligned}$$

Thus by Anderson's inequality [35] p.55, for any convex set C symmetric around 0,

$$\mathbb{P}\big\{\underline{X}\in C\big\}\le \mathbb{P}\big\{\underline{Y}\in C\big\}. \tag{6.6}$$

By choosing $C=\big\{(x_1,\dots,x_n)\in\mathbb{R}^n : |x_i|\le z\big\}$, we deduce

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{j=1}^{n}|X_j|\le z\Big\}\le \mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{j=1}^{n}|Y_j|\le z\Big\}=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\mathbb{P}\big\{|a_{i,i}g_i|\le \frac{z}{\sqrt{\alpha}}\big\}.$$

Letting next α tend to $1-r$, finally leads to

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{j=1}^{n}|X_j|\le z\Big\}\le\prod_{j=1}^{n}\mathbb{P}\big\{|a_{i,i}g_i|\le\frac{z}{\sqrt{1-r}}\big\}=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\mathbb{P}\big\{|X_j|\le\frac{z}{\sqrt{1-r}}\big\}.$$

as claimed. □

Remark 6.3. Condition (6.1) has to be related with famous Geršgorin's theorem, which states that the eigenvalues of an $n\times n$ matrix with complex entries lie in the union of the closed disks (Geršgorin disks)

$$|z-a_{i,i}|\le A_i \qquad (i=1,2,\dots,n) \tag{6.7}$$

in the complex plane. This result has naturally many concrete applications. An example to the analysis of flutter phenomenon in aircraft design is described in [34]. There is an analog result due to Brauer on ovals of Cassini stating that

$$|z-a_{i,i}||z-a_{j,j}|\le A_iA_j \qquad (i,j=1,2,\dots,n,\ i\neq j). \tag{6.8}$$

See [5]. In relation with this, we have that if

$$|a_{i,i}||a_{k,k}|> A_iA_k \qquad (i,j=1,2,\dots,n,\ i\neq j) \tag{6.9}$$

then $\det(A)>0$. Note that the relations (6.9) imply $|a_{i,i}|>A_i$ for all i but one.

Matrices with dominant principal diagonal are used in a crucial way in [26] starting from (5.1), see proof of Lemma 2. Assume $\gamma(u)$ is convex on $[0,\delta]$ for some $\delta>0$, and let $\sigma^2(x)=2(1-\gamma(x))$. Let also $t_0<t_1<\ldots<t_n$ with $t_n-t_0\le\delta$. By using convexity of γ, $\mathbb{E}\,(X(t_i)-X(t_{i-1})(X(t_j)-X(t_{j-1})\le 0$, so that

$$\begin{aligned}
A_i &:= \sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{n}|\mathbb{E}\,(X(t_i)-X(t_{i-1})(X(t_j)-X(t_{j-1})| \\
&= -\mathbb{E}\,(X(t_i)-X(t_{i-1})\big[X(t_n)-X(t_i)+X(t_{i-1})-X(t_0)\big] \\
&= \sigma^2(t_i-t_{i-1})+\frac12\big[\sigma^2(t_{i-1}-t_0)-\sigma^2(t_i-t_0)+\sigma^2(t_n-t_i)-\sigma^2(t_n-t_{i-1})\big] \\
&< \sigma^2(t_i-t_{i-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

However the ratio $A_i/\sigma^2(t_i-t_{i-1})$ has to be estimated in order to adjust with assumption (6.5), and we don't see how this can be done. It seems therefore that inequality (7) (and thereby (8)) in [26] needs a correction. A strictly weaker estimate can be deduced from (6.4). A comparable estimate (without absolute values) however trivially follows from Slepian's lemma since the process has negatively correlated increments, see [24] Theorem 4.5.

Final remark. Although not presented here, the results from the sections 2,3,4,5 admit some extensions to Gaussian random fields defined on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with values in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

References

[1] Achieser N.I., Glasman I.M.: (1954) *Theorie der Linearen Operatoren in Hilbert-Raum*, Berlin.

[2] Aurzada F., Lifshits M.: (2008) *Small deviation probability via chaining*, Stoch. Proc. & Appl. **118**, 2344–2368. 1–26.

[3] Barthe F.: (1998) *On a reverse form of the Brascamp–Lieb inequality*, Invent. math. **134**, 335–361.

[4] Brascamp H.M., Lieb E.H.: (1976) *Best Constants in Young's Inequality, Its Converse, and Its Generalization to More than Three Functions*, Advances in Math. **205**, 151–173.

[5] Brauer F.L.: (1947) *Limits for the characteristic roots of a matrix II*, Duke Math. J. **14**, 21–26.

[6] Brenner J.L.: (1954) *A Bound for a Determinant with Dominant Main Diagonal*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **5** No4, 631–634.

[7] Beśka M., Ciesielski Z.: (2006) *Gebelein's inequality and its consequences*, Approximation and Probability, Banach Center Publications **72**, Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warszawa 11–23.

[8] Csáki E.: (1994) *Some limit theorems for empirical processes*, in: Vilaplana, J.P., Puri, M.I. (Eds), Recent Advances in Statistics and Probability (Proc. **4th** IMSIBAC) VSP, Utrecht, 247–254.

[9] Davies P.J.: (1965) *Interpolation and Approximation*, New-York-Toronto-London, 393p.

[10] Everitt W.N.: (1962) *Inequalities for Gram determinants*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (**2**) **8**, 191–196.

[11] Feingold D.G., Varga R.S.: (1962) *Block diagonally dominant matrices and generalisations of the Geršgorin circle theorem*, Pacific J. Math. **12**, 1241-1250.

[12] Grenander U., Szegö G.: (1958) *Toeplitz forms and their applications*, Univ. of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles.

[13] Guerra F., Rosen L., Simon B.: (1975). *The $P(\phi)_2$ Euclidean quantum field theory as classical statistical mechanics*, Ann. of Math. **101**, 111–189.

[14] Haynsworth E.V.: (1953) *Bounds for determinants with dominant principal diagonal*, Duke Math. J. **20**, 199–209.

[15] Klein A., Landau L.J., Shucker D.S.: (1982) *Decoupling inequalities for stationary Gaussian processes*, Ann. of Prob. **10**, 702–708.

[16] Konyagin S.V.: (1981) *On the problem of Littlewood*, Izv. Acad Nauk SSSR Ser. Math. [Math.USSR-Izv.], **45** No. 2, 243–265.

[17] Ky Fan: (1971) *On the singular values of compact operators*, J. London Math. Soc. **2** No3, 187–189.

[18] Kurepa S.: (1967) *Konačno dimensionalni vectorski prostori i primjene*, Zagreb.

[19] Lancaster H.O.: (1969) *The chi-squared distribution*, Wiley Publ. in Statistics.

[20] Libkind L.M.: (1972) *Asymptotic of the eigenvalues of Toeplitz forms*, Mat. Zametki **11** No. 2, 151–158.

[21] Lifshits M.: (1995) *Gaussian random functions*, Dordrecht, Kluwer Academic Publishers.

[22] Lifshits M.: (1999) *Asymptotic behavior of small balls probabilities*, in: Prob. theory and Math. Statist. Proc. VII, International Vilnius Conference, VSP/TEV, 453–468.

[23] Li Wenbo V., Shao Qi-Man: (2004) *Lower tail probabilities for Gaussian processes*, Ann. of Prob. **32** No1A, 216–242.

[24] Li Wenbo V., Shao Qi-Man: (2005) *Gaussian Processes: Inequalities, Small Balls Probabilities and Applications*, in D.N. Shanbhag et al. (Eds.), Stochastic Processes: Theory and Methods, in: Handb. Statist. **19**, Elsevier, Amsterdam 2001, 533–597.

[25] McGehee O.C., Pigno L., Smith B.: (1981) *Hardy's inequality and the L_1-norm of exponential sums*, Ann. of Math. (2), **113** No. 3, 613–618.

[26] Marcus M.B.: (1968) *Gaussian processes with stationary increments possessing discontinuous sample paths*, Pacific J. of Math. **26** No1, 149–157.

[27] Newell G.F.: (1962) *Asymptotic extreme value distributions for one dimensional diffusion processes*, J. Math. Mech. **11** No1, 481–496.

[28] Olevskii A.M.: (1975) *Fourier series with respect to general orthogonal systems*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band **86**.

[29] Ostrowski A.M.: (1951) *Note on bounds for determinants with dominant principal diagonal*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **3**, 26–30.

[30] Popov A.Y.: (2003) *Estimates of the sums of sine series with monotone coefficients of certain classes*, Math. Notes **74** No6, 829–840.

[31] Price B.: (1951) *Bounds for determinants with dominant principal diagonal*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **2**, 497–502.

[32] Stolz W: (1996) *Some small balls probabilities for Gaussian processes under non-uniform norms*, J. Theor. Prob. **9**, 613-630.

[33] Talagrand M.: (1993) *New Gaussian estimates for enlarged balls*, Geom. and Funct. Anal. **3**, 502–526.

[34] Taussky O.: (1988) *How I became a Torchbearer for Matrix Theory*, Amer. Math. Monthly **95** No9 (Nov. 1988), 801–812.

[35] Tong. Y.L.: (1980) *Probability Inequalities in Multivariate Distributions*, Academic Press, New-York.

[36] Veraar M.: (2009) *Correlation Inequalities and Applications to Vector-Valued Gaussian Random Variables and Fractional Brownian Motion*, Potential Analysis, **30**, 341–370.

[37] Voss J.: (2009) *Upper and Lower Bounds in Exponential Tauberian Theorems*, arXiv:0908.0642v2.

[38] Weber M.: (1989) *The supremum of Gaussian processes with a constant variance*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **81** no.4, 585–591.

[39] Weber M.: (2006) *On a stronger form of Salem-Zygmund's inequality for random trigonometric sums with examples*, Periodica Math. Hungar. **52** (2), 73–104.

[40] Weber M.: *Dynamical Systems and Processes*, European Mathematical Society Publishing House, IRMA Lectures in Mathematics and Theoretical Physics **14** xiii+761p, 2009.

[41] Weber M.: (2010) *On small deviations of Gaussian processes using majorizing measures*, preprint available at arXiv:1012.3614v1.

[42] Zygmund A.: (2002) *Trigonometrical Series*, Third Edition, Cambridge Mathematical Library, Cambridge University Press.

Michel Weber: IRMA, Université Louis-Pasteur et C.N.R.S., 7 rue René Descartes, 67084 Strasbourg Cedex, France.

E-mail address: `michel.weber@math.unistra.fr`

URL: `http://www-irma.u-strasbg.fr/∼weber/`

arXiv:1104.2786v2 [math.PR] 27 Jul 2011

ON SMALL DEVIATIONS OF STATIONARY GAUSSIAN PROCESSES AND RELATED ANALYTIC INEQUALITIES

MICHEL J. G. WEBER

ABSTRACT. Let $\{X_j, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be a Gaussian stationary sequence having a spectral function F of infinite type. Then for all n and $z \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{ \sup_{j=1}^{n} |X_j| \leq z \Big\} \leq \Big(\int_{-z/\sqrt{G(f)}}^{z/\sqrt{G(f)}} e^{-x^2/2} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \Big)^n,$$

where $G(f)$ is the geometric mean of the Radon Nykodim derivative of the absolutely continuous part f of F. The proof uses properties of finite Toeplitz forms. Let $\{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be a sample continuous stationary Gaussian process with covariance function $\gamma(u)$. We also show that there exists an absolute constant K such that for all $T > 0$, $a > 0$ with $T \geq \varepsilon(a)$,

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{ \sup_{0 \leq s,t \leq T} |X(s) - X(t)| \leq a \Big\} \leq \exp\Big\{ -\frac{KT}{\varepsilon(a)p(\varepsilon(a))} \Big\},$$

where $\varepsilon(a) = \min\big\{b > 0 : \delta(b) \geq a\big\}$, $\delta(b) = \min_{u \geq 1}\{\sqrt{2(1-\gamma((ub))}, u \geq 1\}$, and $p(b) = 1 + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{|2\gamma(jb) - \gamma((j-1)b) - \gamma((j+1)b)|}{2(1-\gamma(b))}$. The proof is based on some decoupling inequalities arising from Brascamp-Lieb inequality. Both approaches are developed and compared on examples. Several other related results are established.

2000 AMS Mathematical Subject Classification: Primary: 60F15, 60G50 ; Secondary: 60F05.

Keywords and phrases: small deviation, Gaussian process, stationary, decoupling coefficient, matrices with dominant principal diagonal, Geršgorin's disks, Toeplitz forms, eigenvalues, strong Szegö limit theorem, geometric mean, Littlewood hypothesis.

1. Introduction and Preliminary Results

The study of small deviations of continuous Gaussian processes and more general continuous processes is a very active domain of research. This is also a very specialized area, rich of many specific results, mainly concerning typical processes having strongly regular covariance structure, such as Brownian motion, Brownian sheet, fractional Brownian motions, integrated fractional Brownian motions, Hurst processes, . . . This aspect of the theory has naturally many applications in statistics. It is also sometimes related to operator theory.

The small deviations problem for the class of stationary Gaussian processes is of particular interest, the way how stationary and mixing properties interact being notably not quite well understood. This is the main focus of this work. Let $X = \{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be throughout a sample continuous stationary Gaussian process with covariance function $\gamma(u) = \mathbb{E}\, X(t+u)X(t)$. The underlying problem is the study for small z and T large, $0 < z < z_0$, $T_0 \le T < \infty$ say, of the probability

$$\mathbb{P}\big\{ \sup_{0\le s,t\le T} |X(s) - X(t)| \le z\big\}.$$

One can also separately consider asymptotics for $T \to \infty$, a being fixed, or $a \to 0$, T fixed. The most celebrated example of stationary Gaussian process is naturally the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process $U(t) = W(e^t)e^{-t/2}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, W denoting the standard Brownian motion. And we know that for $z > 0$, there exist positive constants $K_1(z), K_2(z)$ such that for all $T \ge 1$

$$K_1(z)e^{-\lambda(z)T} \le \mathbb{P}\Big\{ \sup_{0\le s\le T} |U(s)| < z\Big\} \le K_1(z)e^{-\lambda(z)T}. \tag{1.1}$$

Further $\lambda(z) \sim \frac{\pi^2}{4z^2}$ as $z \to 0$. See [8], Lemma 2.2. This precise estimate follows from earlier work of Newell in which this question is showed to be intimately linked to the Sturm-Liouville equation

$$\psi''(x) - x\psi'(x) = -\lambda\psi(x), \qquad \psi(-z) = \psi(z) = 0. \tag{1.2}$$

Let $\lambda_1 \le \lambda_2 \le \ldots$ and $\psi_1(x), \psi_2(x), \ldots$ respectively denote the eigenvalues and normed eigenfunctions of Eq.(1.2). Here λ_i, ψ_j depend on z and it is known that $\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots$ form an orthonormal sequence with respect to the weight function $e^{-x^2/2}$. And $\lambda(z) = \lambda_1$ in (1.1). According to [27],

$$\mathbb{P}\big\{ \sup_{0\le s\le t} |U(s)| < z\big\} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_k t}\Big(\int_{-z}^{z} \psi_k(x)e^{-x^2/2}\mathrm{d}x\Big)^2. \tag{1.3}$$

For many purposes, the weaker estimate below suffices, and is moreover simpler to establish: for $T \ge T_0$, $0 \le z \le z_0$

$$e^{-K_1\frac{T}{z^2}} \le \mathbb{P}\Big\{ \sup_{0\le s,t\le T} |U(s) - U(t)| \le z\Big\} \le e^{-K_2\frac{T}{z^2}}, \tag{1.4}$$

K_1, K_2 being absolute constants. The lower bound part follows from Talagrand's general lower bound in [33]. See [2],[41] for recent improvments. As to the upper bound part, it can be for instance deduced from Stolz's estimate [32] (Corollary 1.2) or (5.15). The small deviations problem of X naturally relies on both the behavior of $\gamma(u)$ near 0 and near infinity. At this regard, it is worth observing that the (exponential) rate of decay of $\gamma(u)$ near infinity is hidden in (1.1) and (1.4). Let us begin with the discrete case. Let $\underline{X} = \{X_j, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be a stationary Gaussian sequence. If the sequence $\underline{X}$ is i.i.d., then obviously for all x

$$\mathbb{P}\big\{ \sup_{j=1}^{n} |X_j| \le x\big\} = \mathbb{P}\big\{|X_1| \le x\big\}^n.$$

It is rather unexpected that the slightly weaker estimate

$$\mathbb{P}\big\{|X_1| \le x\big\}^n \le \mathbb{P}\big\{ \sup_{j=1}^{n} |X_j| \le x\big\} \le \mathbb{P}\big\{|X_1| \le \gamma x\big\}^n, \tag{1.5}$$

where γ may depend on X but not on n nor x, holds for a large class of stationary Gaussian sequences. It suffices in effect, that the geometric mean of the Radon-Nykodim derivative of the absolutely continuous part of its spectrum be finite; see Theorem 5.1. This defines a very large class of stationary Gaussian sequences. Beyond this case, that question seems to loose much interest. For instance if

$\underline{X}$ has absolutely continuous spectrum with spectral density f, and f has infinite geometric mean, then $\underline{X}$ is deterministic. This yields extremely strong dependence between the successive variables X_j. The condition that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mathbb{E}\, X_0 X_n| < \infty$ is also sufficient for the validity of (1.5).

We will study these questions through essentially two different ways: one is probabilistic, although based on a real analysis device, and the other of spectral nature. We shall also compare them on representative classes of examples. The first is the correlation approach, which is based on powerful correlation inequalities derived from Brascamp–Lieb's inequality. This is investigated in Sections 2,3,4. We notably establish for the continuous parameter case a rather general upper bound integrating the rate of decay of $\gamma(u)$ near infinity.

A first relevant and little known correlation estimate is Gebelein's inequality ([7],[36]). Let ν be the centered normalized Gauss measure on $\mathbb{R}$. Let (U,V) be a Gaussian pair with $U \overset{\mathcal{D}}{=} V \overset{\mathcal{D}}{=} \nu$ and let $\rho = \mathbb{E}\, UV$. Then for any $f, h \in L^2(\nu)$ with $\mathbb{E}\, f(U) = \mathbb{E}\, h(V) = 0$,

$$|\mathbb{E}\, f(U)h(V)| \le |\rho| \|f\|_2 \|h\|_2. \tag{1.6}$$

An analog result is Nelson's hyper-contractive estimate, which can be reformulated as follows

$$|\mathbb{E}\, f(U)h(V)| \le \|f\|_p \|h\|_q, \tag{1.7}$$

where $(p-1)(q-1) \ge \rho^2$. One can take in particular $p = q = 1 + |\rho|$. We have given Guerra, Rosen and Simon formulation of Nelson's estimate [13], which was originally stated for the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. They also established for this process that

$$\Big| \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^{n} f_j(U(ja)) \Big| \le \prod_{j=1}^{n} \|f_j(U(0))\|_p, \tag{1.8}$$

for all integers n, where $a > 0$ and $p = (1 - e^{-na})^{-1}(1 + e^{-na})$. A more general form was later proved in a deep work [15] by Klein, Landau and Shucker. See Lemma 2.3. As already mentionned, the main ingredient is a real analysis inequality due to Brascamp–Lieb [4], which asserts that for any complex-valued functions f_j and real numbers $1 \le p_j \le \infty$, $j = 1, \dots k$ with $\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{p_j} = n \le k$, n integer, if $f_j \in L^{p_j}(\mathbb{R})$, then for any vectors a^j in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $j = 1, \dots k$,

$$\Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{j=1}^{k} f_j(\langle a^j, x \rangle)\, \mathrm{d}x \Big| \le D \prod_{j=1}^{k} \|f_j\|_{p_j}, \tag{1.9}$$

and the constant D is computable explicitely (see [4], Theorems 1,5). Inequalities of this sort were intensively investigated in the recent years, see [3] for instance and references therein.

The second approach is based on the theory of finite Toeplitz forms, especially strong Szegö limit theorem and is investigated in Section 5. We obtain comparable upper and lower estimates under simple conditions regarding the spectral density of the stationary Gaussian sequence. It seems by the way rather evident to assert that any reasonable attempt for developing a small deviation theory of stationary

Gaussian processes cannot be undertaken without including a large account from the asymptotic theory of eigenvalues of finite Toeplitz forms. This can be well illustrated as follows. Let $\underline{X}$ having a spectral density function $f(t)$ and put

$$c_k = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ikt} f(t)\mathrm{d}t, \qquad k\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

Let Γ_n denote the covariance matrix of $(X_1,\ldots,X_n)$, obviously $\mathbb{E}\,X_jX_k = c_{j-k}$. The study of the asymptotic distribution of its eigenvalues, as n tends to infinity, can be equivalently viewed as the one of the finite Toeplitz forms

$$T_n(f) = \sum_{j,k=0}^{n} c_{j-k}a_j\bar{a}_k = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\Big|\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k e^{ikt}\Big|^2 f(t)\mathrm{d}t, \qquad n=0,1,\ldots$$

This is an old question. Let m and M denote the essential lower and upper bound f respectively. Assume for instance that $0<m\le M<\infty$. Denote by $\lambda_1^n,\ldots,\lambda_{n+1}^n$, the eigenvalues of the Hermitian form $T_n(f)$, namely the roots of the characteristic function $T_n(f-\lambda)=0$. As $\lambda_j^n\ge m>0$, it follows that $\det(\Gamma_n)>0$. It is well-known that the sets

$$\big\{\lambda_j^n\big\} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \big\{f\big(-\pi+\frac{2j\pi}{n+2}\big)\big\}, \qquad n\to\infty,$$

are equally distributed in the Weyl sense. According to Szegö's limit theorem ([12], Chapter 5), for any continuous function F defined on $[m,M]$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{F(\lambda_1^n)+\ldots+F(\lambda_{n+1}^n)}{n+1} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F(f(t))\mathrm{d}t. \tag{1.10}$$

A well-known fact easily derived from (1.10) is that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\big[\det(\Gamma_n)\big]^{\frac{1}{n+1}} = \exp\Big\{\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\log f(t)\mathrm{d}t\Big\}. \tag{1.11}$$

Indeed, as $\det(\Gamma_n)=\lambda_1^n\ldots\lambda_{n+1}^n$, it suffices to apply (1.10) with $F(\lambda)=\log\lambda$, $\lambda>0$. This has immediate consequences concerning the small values of $(X_1,\ldots,X_n)$, $n\to\infty$.

Finally we examine in Section 6 the non-stationary case and use the convenient notion of matrices with dominant principal diagonal. This direction was explored by Li and Shao (see [23], see also the survey [24] and the references therein, as well the earlier work of Marcus [26]), and some improvments of their results are established. We also clarify the relevance of this notion in the context of eigenvalues of Hermitian matrices by linking it with Geršgorin's Theorem.

We believe that the used approaches are potentially more developable and should certainly allow to improve on the general knewledge of small deviations in the stationary case.

Basic Estimates. Recall well-known Kathri-Sidák's inequality implying for any Gaussian vector $(X_1,\ldots,X_J)$ that

$$\prod_{j=1}^{J}\mathbb{P}\{|X_j|\le z\} \le \mathbb{P}\big\{\sup_{j=1}^{J}|X_j|\le z\big\}. \tag{1.12}$$

Now recall Boyd's precise estimate of Mills' ratio $R(x) = e^{x^2/2}\int_x^\infty e^{-t^2/2}\mathrm{d}t$: for all $x \geq 0$,

$$\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{x^2+2\pi}+(\pi-1)x} \leq R(x) \leq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{(\pi-2)^2x^2+2\pi}+2x}. \tag{1.13}$$

Notice that both bounds tend to $(\frac{\pi}{2})^{1/2}$ as x tends to 0. Let g denote throughout a standard Gaussian random variable. Mill's ratio is clearly directly related to the Laplace transform of g since for any real $\lambda \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}\, e^{-\lambda|g|} = \big(\frac{2}{\pi}\big)^{1/2}R(\lambda). \tag{1.14}$$

It follows that $\mathbb{E}\, e^{-\lambda|g|} \sim (\frac{2}{\pi})^{1/2}\lambda^{-1}$, $\lambda \to \infty$. Further, for all $\lambda > 0$

$$\mathbb{E}\, e^{-\lambda|g|} \leq \min\big(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\lambda\sqrt{\pi}}, 1\big). \tag{1.15}$$

We refer for instance to [40] Section 10.1 for these facts and more details.

Notation–Convention. All Gaussian random variables, Gaussian sequences or processes we consider are implicitely assumed to be *centered*. Further, $g_1, g_2, \dots$ will always denote a sequence of i.i.d. Gaussian standard random variables, and the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process is denoted by $U(t), t \geq 0$. The notation $f(t) \asymp h(t)$ near $t_0 \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ means that for t in a neighborhood of t_0, $c|h(t)| \leq |f(t)| \leq C|h(t)|$ for some constants $0 < c \leq C < \infty$. Finally, we convince that $\frac{1}{0} = \infty$.

2. Stationary Gaussian Processes with finite decoupling coefficient

Let $\{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be a stationary Gaussian process with continuous sample paths and let $\gamma(u) = \mathbb{E}\, X(0)X(u)$ denote its covariance function.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that* $\sum_{j=1}^\infty |\gamma(jb)| < \infty$, *for all* $b > 0$. *Then there exists an absolute constant* K *such that for all* $T > 0$, $a > 0$ *with* $T \geq \varepsilon(a)$,

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{0\leq s,t\leq T}|X(s)-X(t)| \leq a\Big\} \leq \exp\Big\{-\frac{KT}{\varepsilon(a)p(\varepsilon(a))}\Big\},$$

where $\varepsilon(a) = \min\big\{b > 0 : \delta(b) \geq a\big\}$, $\delta(b) = \min_{u\geq1}\{\sqrt{2(1-\gamma((ub))}, u \geq 1\}$ *and*

$$p(b) = 1 + \sum_{j=2}^\infty \frac{\big|2\gamma\big(jb\big)-\gamma\big((j-1)b\big)-\gamma\big((j+1)b\big)\big|}{2(1-\gamma(b))}.$$

Remark 2.2. It is natural to check whether Theorem 2.1 contains known upper bounds for the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. It can be shown in this case that $p(b)$ tends to some positive finite limit as b tends to 0. Indeed,

$$p(b) = 1 + \frac{\big|2-e^{b/2}-e^{-b/2}\big|}{2(1-e^{-b/2})}\sum_{j=2}^\infty e^{-jb/2} = 1 + e^{-b}\frac{\big|(1-e^{b/2})+(1-e^{-b/2})\big|}{2(1-e^{-b/2})^2}.$$

By developing near $b = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(1-e^{b/2})+(1-e^{-b/2}) &= (1-[1+\frac{b}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\frac{b^2}{4}])+(1-[1-\frac{b}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\frac{b^2}{4}])+\mathcal{O}(b^3)\\ &= -\frac{b^2}{4}+\mathcal{O}(b^3),\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$p(b) \sim 1+e^{-b}\frac{b^2}{8(1-e^{-b/2})^2} \sim 1+e^{-b}\frac{b^2}{8(b^2/4)} \sim \frac{3}{2}, \qquad\qquad b\to 0.$$

Moreover $\delta(b) = \sqrt{2(1-e^{-b/2})} \sim \sqrt{b}$ as $b\to 0$. Theorem 2.1 thus implies the upper bound part of (1.4).

We begin with recalling some decoupling inequalities ([15], Theorems 1 and 2) due to Klein, Landau and Shucker, and which turn up to be not so known. Introduce a definition. Write for $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \ldots, t_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathrm{d}\mathbf{t} = \mathrm{d}t_1 \ldots \mathrm{d}t_d$, $\|\mathbf{t}\| = (t_1^2 + \ldots + t_d^2)^{1/2}$ and let $\mathbf{0} = (0, \ldots, 0)$. A continuous function $r : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be *Riemann approximable* if,

$$\lim_{a\downarrow 0} \sum_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbf{d}}} |r(a\mathbf{n})| = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |r(\mathbf{t})|\mathrm{d}\mathbf{t}.$$

It suffices for instance that $|r(\mathbf{t})| \le C(1+\|\mathbf{t}\|)^{-\delta}$, for some $C>0$ and $\delta > d$.

Lemma 2.3. *a) Let $X = \{X_{\mathbf{t}}, \mathbf{t}\in\mathbb{Z}^d\}$ be a stationary Gaussian process with finite decoupling coefficient p, that is:*

$$p = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{|\mathbb{E}\, X_{\mathbf{0}}X_{\mathbf{k}}|}{\mathbb{E}\, X_{\mathbf{0}}^2} < \infty. \tag{2.1}$$

Let $\{f_{\mathbf{k}}, \mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d\}$ be a sequence of complex-valued measurable functions. Then for each finite subset J of $\mathbb{Z}^d$,

$$\Big|\mathbb{E}\prod_{\mathbf{j}\in J} f_{\mathbf{j}}(X_{\mathbf{j}})\Big| \le \prod_{\mathbf{j}\in J}\big\|f_{\mathbf{j}}(X_{\mathbf{0}})\big\|_p.$$

b) Let $\{X_{\mathbf{t}}, \mathbf{t}\in\mathbb{R}^d\}$ be a stationary Gaussian process, continuous in mean, with Riemann approximable covariance function. Let V be a $\mathbb{C}$-valued measurable function of a real variable. Assume that $V(X_{\mathbf{0}})$ is integrable. Then, for all bounded measurable subsets B of $\mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\Big|\mathbb{E}\exp\Big\{\int_B V(X_{\mathbf{t}})\mathrm{d}\mathbf{t}\Big\}\Big| \le \big\|\exp\big\{V(X_{\mathbf{0}})\big\}\big\|_p^{|B|},$$

where

$$p = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\mathbb{E}\,(X_{\mathbf{0}}X_{\mathbf{t}})|}{\mathbb{E}\, X_{\mathbf{0}}^2}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{t} < \infty, \tag{2.2}$$

and $|B|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of B.

In either case, the proof relies on inequality (1.9). It is of matter to briefly explain its principle. At first, a similar result (see Lemma 4.1) is established for cyclic stationary Gaussian processes. The proof is next achieved by approximating X with cyclic stationary Gaussian processes. A key observation is then that

$$r_{\mathbf{N}}(\mathbf{n}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} r(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{k}\mathbf{N}), \qquad \text{where} \qquad r(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbb{E}\, X_{\mathbf{0}}X_{\mathbf{u}},$$

is, under condition (2.1), an $\mathbf{N}$-periodic covariance function, and $\lim_{\mathbf{N}\to\infty} r_{\mathbf{N}}(\mathbf{n}) = r(\mathbf{n})$ for all $\mathbf{n}$, which is a remarkable fact. The proof for the continuous parameter case is similar.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Notice that for each fixed real $b>0$, the Gaussian sequence

$$\xi_b(j) = X(jb) - X((j-1)b), \qquad\qquad j = 1,2,\ldots$$

is stationary. Let indeed $\ell, u \geq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\,\xi_b(\ell)\xi_b(\ell+u) &= \mathbb{E}\,\big(X((\ell+u)b) - X((\ell+u-1)b)\big)\big(X(\ell b) - X((\ell-1)b)\big) \\ &= 2\gamma\big(ub\big) - \gamma\big((u-1)b\big) - \gamma\big((u+1)b\big), \qquad (2.3)\end{aligned}$$

which only depends on u. It has finite decoupling coefficient, and more precisely

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\mathbb{E}\,\xi_b(1)\xi_b(j)|}{\mathbb{E}\,\xi_b(1)^2} = 1 + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{|2\gamma\big(jb\big) - \gamma\big((j-1)b\big) - \gamma\big((j+1)b\big)|}{2(1-\gamma(b))} = p(b) < \infty.$$

Further if F denotes the spectral function of X, $\gamma(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{iu\lambda} F(d\lambda)$, then

$$\mathbb{E}\,\xi_b(\ell)\xi_b(\ell+u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\lambda ub} |e^{ib\lambda} - 1|^2 F(d\lambda). \tag{2.4}$$

And

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\mathbb{E}\,\xi_b(1)\xi_b(j)|}{\mathbb{E}\,\xi_b(1)^2} = 1 + \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} e^{-i\lambda ub} |e^{ib\lambda} - 1|^2 F(d\lambda)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} |e^{ib\lambda} - 1|^2 F(d\lambda)}.$$

Let $T \geq b$. Consider on $[0,T]$ the subdivision $t_j = jb$, $0 \leq j \leq n := \lfloor T/b \rfloor$. We have

$$\|X((j+u)b) - X(jb)\|_2^2 = 2(1-\gamma((ub)) \geq 2\min_{u\geq 1}(1-\gamma((ub)) = \delta^2(b).$$

Let $c = \sqrt{2/\pi}$. Let $a>0$ and choose b so that $\delta(b) \geq a$. Let g denote a Gaussian standard random variable. By Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\big\{\max_{1\leq j\leq n} |\xi_b(j)| \leq a\big\} &= \mathbb{E}\prod_{i=1}^{n} \chi_{[-a,a]}(\xi_b(j)) \leq \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}\big\{|\xi_b(j)| \leq a\big\}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p(b)}} \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\big\{|g| \leq \frac{a}{\delta(b)}\big\}\Big)^{\frac{n}{p(b)}} = \Big(\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\int_0^{\frac{a}{\delta(b)}} e^{-x^2/2}dx\Big)^{\frac{n}{p(b)}} \\ &\leq c^{\frac{n}{p(b)}} = e^{-\frac{\lfloor T/b\rfloor}{p(b)}\log\frac{1}{c}} \leq e^{-\frac{T}{2p(b)b}\log\frac{1}{c}}.\end{aligned}$$

As

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{0\leq s,t\leq T} |U(s)-U(t)| \leq a\Big\} \leq \mathbb{P}\Big\{\max_{1\leq j\leq n} |\xi_b(j)| \leq a\Big\}$$

by taking $b = \varepsilon(a)$, we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{0\leq s,t\leq T} |U(s)-U(t)| \leq a\Big\} \leq e^{-K\frac{T}{\varepsilon(a)p(\varepsilon(a))}},$$

with $K = \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{1}{c} = \frac{1}{4}\log\frac{\pi}{2}$. □

Remark 2.4. A direct application of the decoupling inequality to the sequence $X(jb)$ instead of $X(jb) - X((j-1)b)$ only provides a bound with a decoupling coefficient which may tend to infinity when $b \to 0$. So is in particular the case when X is the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process.

We also establish the following general upper bound.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\{X_t, t \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ be a stationary sample continuous Gaussian process. Assume that condition (2.2) is fulfilled. For any $z > 0$, any bounded interval B of $\mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{t\in B} |X_t| \le z\Big\} \le \big(e^p\, \mathbb{P}\{|g| < z\}\big)^{\frac{|B|}{p}}.$$

Proof. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ be measurable, such that $\mathbb{E}\,|f(X_0)| < \infty$, and let λ, θ be positive reals. Applying part b) of Lemma 2.3 with $V(x) = -\lambda f(x)$ gives

$$\mathbb{E}\,\exp\Big\{-\lambda \int_B f(X_t)\mathrm{d}t\Big\} \le \big\|e^{-\lambda f(X_0)}\big\|_p^{|B|} = \big(\mathbb{E}\, e^{-p\lambda f(g)}\big)^{\frac{|B|}{p}}.$$

Thereby,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\Big\{\int_B f(X_t)\mathrm{d}t \le \theta\Big\} &= \mathbb{P}\Big\{-\lambda \int_B f(X_t)\mathrm{d}t \ge -\lambda\theta\Big\}\\ &\le \min\Big(e^{\lambda\theta}\,\mathbb{E}\,\exp\Big\{-\lambda\int_B f(X_t)\mathrm{d}t\Big\}, 1\Big)\\ &\le \min\Big(e^{\lambda\theta}\big(\mathbb{E}\, e^{-p\lambda f(g)}\big)^{\frac{|B|}{p}}, 1\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Apply this to $f(x) = |x|^r, 0 < r < \infty$. Put

$$\|X\|_{r,B} = \Big(\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B |X_t|^r \mathrm{d}t\Big)^{\frac{1}{r}}, \qquad \|X\|_{\infty,B} = \sup_{t\in B}|X_t|. \tag{2.5}$$

Notice first that $\|X\|_{\infty,B} = \lim_{r\to\infty}\|X\|_{r,B}$, almost surely, since X is sample continuous. Take $\theta = z^r|B|$. This gives

$$\mathbb{P}\big\{\|X\|_{r,B} \le z\big\} \quad\le\quad \inf_{\lambda>0} e^{\lambda z^r|B|}\big(\mathbb{E}\, e^{-p\lambda|g|^r}\big)^{\frac{|B|}{p}}.$$

Choose now $\lambda = z^{-r}$. Then

$$\mathbb{P}\big\{\|X\|_{r,B} \le z\big\} \quad\le\quad \big(e^p\,\mathbb{E}\, e^{-p\frac{|g|^r}{z^r}}\big)^{\frac{|B|}{p}}.$$

But

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} e^{-p\frac{|g|^r}{z^r}} \overset{a.s.}{=} \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if} \quad |g| < z\\ 0, & \text{if} \quad |g| > z.\end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

Thus p disappears from the limit. By using the dominated convergence theorem, we get

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\, e^{-p\frac{|g|^r}{z^r}} = \mathbb{P}\{|g| < z\}.$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{P}\big\{\|X\|_{\infty,B} \le z\big\} \le \liminf_{r\to\infty}\mathbb{P}\big\{\|X\|_{r,B} \le z\big\} \le \big(e^p\,\mathbb{P}\{|g| < z\}\big)^{\frac{|B|}{p}}.$$

□

Remark 2.6. (**Ergodic maximal equality**) Introduce the ergodic maximal function

$$\mathbb{M}^*(X) = \sup_{T>0} M_T(X) \qquad \text{where} \qquad \mathbb{M}_T(X) = \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T |X_t| \mathrm{d}t.$$

As a special case of a fine result from ergodic theory, namely Marcus-Petersen's maximal equality for ergodic flows ([40], p.133), we have

$$\mathbb{P}\big\{\mathbb{M}^*(X) \le \alpha\big\} = 0, \tag{2.7}$$

if $\alpha < \sqrt{2/\pi}$. A slightly less precise result can be directly derived from the first part of the above proof, in which only assumptions of Lemma 2.3, part b) are used. A simple modification of this one, also yields for all $\theta > 0$, B with $|B| > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B |X_t| \mathrm{d}t \le \theta\Big\} \le \min\big(e\big(2/\pi\big)^{1/2}\theta, 1\big)^{\frac{|B|}{p}}. \tag{2.8}$$

Indeed, using (1.15) we have with $c = \big(2/\pi\big)^{1/2}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\Big\{\int_B |X_t| \mathrm{d}t \le z\Big\} &= \mathbb{P}\Big\{-\lambda\int_B |X_t| \mathrm{d}t \ge -\lambda z\Big\} \\ &\le \min\Big(e^{\lambda z}\,\mathbb{E}\exp\Big\{-\lambda\int_B |X_t|\mathrm{d}t\Big\}, 1\Big) \\ &\le \min\Big(e^{\lambda z}\big(\frac{c}{\lambda p}\big)^{\frac{|B|}{p}}, 1\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Letting $z = \theta|B|$, $\lambda = 1/p\theta$, we deduce

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B |X_t| \mathrm{d}t \le z\Big\} \le \min\big(ec\theta, 1\big)^{\frac{|B|}{p}}.$$

By taking $B = [0,T]$, it follows that for all $\theta < \frac{\sqrt{\pi/2}}{e}$, ($e \approx 2,71828$ being the Neper number)

$$\mathbb{P}\big\{\mathbb{M}^*(X) \le \theta\big\} \le \limsup_{T\to\infty}\mathbb{P}\Big\{\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T |X_t| \mathrm{d}t \le \theta\Big\} \le \limsup_{T\to\infty}(e\sqrt{2/\pi}\theta)^{\frac{T}{p}} = 0.$$

As $2e > \pi$, this is slightly less precise than (2.7).

3. Correlated Suprema

Consider now the similar question for correlated suprema. Let $I_1, \dots, I_J$ be bounded, pairwise disjoint intervals, and associate to them the sets

$$C_j(X) = \big\{\sup_{t\in I_j} |X(t)| \le z_j\big\}, \qquad j = 1, \dots, J$$

where z_j are positive reals. By Hölder's inequality,

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\bigcap_{j=1}^J C_j(X)\Big\} \le C\prod_{j=1}^J \mathbb{P}\{C_j(X)\}^{\sigma}, \qquad \sigma = \frac{1}{J}.$$

In general that inequality cannot be improved. In particular there is no reason for σ to be independent of J. However when $X = U$, namely for the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, this can be much improved.

Proposition 3.1. *For any pairwise disjoint bounded intervals $I_1, \ldots, I_J$, any positive reals z_j,*

$$\prod_{j=1}^{J} \mathbb{P}\big\{ \sup_{t\in I_j} |U(t)| \le z_j \big\} \le \mathbb{P}\Big\{ \bigcap_{j=1}^{J} \big\{ \sup_{t\in I_j} |U(t)| \le z_j \big\} \Big\} \le \prod_{j=1}^{J} \mathbb{P}\big\{ \sup_{t\in I_j} |U(t)| \le z_j \big\}^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where

$$p = \frac{1+e^{-|I_1|-\ldots-|I_J|}}{1-e^{-|I_1|-\ldots-|I_J|}}.$$

Proof. Let $N > 0$ be some large integer. Since I_j are bounded, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\{C_j(U)\} = \lim_{N\to\infty} \mathbb{P}\{C_{j,N}(U)\} \qquad \text{where} \quad C_{j,N}(U) = \big\{ \sup_{\frac{\ell}{N}\in I_j} |U(\frac{\ell}{N})| \le z_j \big\}.$$

The first inequality follows by proceeding by approximation and using inequality (1.12). Let $\nu_N = \#\{\ell : \frac{\ell}{N} \in \cup_{j=1}^{J} I_j\}$. By using (1.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\Big\{ \bigcap_{j=1}^{J} C_{j,N}(U) \Big\} &= \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^{J} \prod_{\frac{\ell}{N}\in I_j} \chi\{|U(\frac{\ell}{N})| \le z_j\} \\
&\le \prod_{j=1}^{J} \prod_{\frac{\ell}{N}\in I_j} \mathbb{P}\{|U(\frac{\ell}{N})| \le z_j\}^{p_N} \\
\text{(by (1.12))} \qquad &\le \prod_{j=1}^{J} \mathbb{P}\{ \sup_{\frac{\ell}{N}\in I_j} |U(\frac{\ell}{N})| \le z_j\}^{p_N} = \prod_{j=1}^{J} \mathbb{P}\{C_{j,N}(U)\}^{p_N},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$p_N = \frac{1+e^{-\nu_N/N}}{1-e^{-\nu_N/N}}.$$

But

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{\nu_N}{N} = |I_1| + \ldots + |I_J|.$$

Therefore $p_N \to p$ with N. Letting N tend to infinity in the above inequality achieves the proof. □

Now let $I_j = n_j + I$ where I is some fixed bounded interval and $n_j \uparrow \infty$ with j and such that $n_{j+1} - n_j \ge |I|$, $j \ge 1$. Put

$$M(I, n_1, \ldots, n_J) = \sup_{\substack{t\in I,\\ 1\le j\le J}} |U(t+n_j)| \tag{3.1}$$

Theorem 3.2. *(Existence of the Limit) For $z > 0$,*

$$\lim_{J\to\infty} \frac{\log \mathbb{P}\{M(I, n_1, \ldots, n_J) \le z\}}{J} = \log \mathbb{P}\big\{ \sup_{t\in I} |U(t)| \le z \big\}.$$

Proof. We have from Proposition 3.1, for $J \geq 1$,

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{t\in I}|U(t)| \leq z\Big\}^J \leq \mathbb{P}\Big\{M(I, n_1, \ldots, n_J) \leq z\Big\} \leq \mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{t\in I}|U(t)| \leq z\Big\}^{J/p_J},$$

where $p_J = \frac{1+e^{-J|I|}}{1-e^{-J|I|}}$. Taking logarithms and using the fact that $p_J \to 1$ with J gives the result. □

One can also establish that

Corollary 3.3. *For $z > 0$,*

$$\lim_{J\to\infty} \frac{\log \mathbb{P}\{M(I, n_1, \ldots, n_J) \leq z\}}{J} = \inf_{J\geq 1} \frac{\log \mathbb{P}\{M(I, n_1, \ldots, n_J) \leq z\}}{J},$$

where $M(I, n_1, \ldots, n_J)$ is defined in (3.1).

Introduce a notion. Let $\underline{c} = \{c_n, n \geq 1\}$ be positive reals tending to $c \geq 1$. We say that a sequence $\{\varphi_n, n \geq 1\}$ of real numbers is $\underline{c}$-subadditive, if

$$\varphi_{n_1+\ldots+n_k} \leq c_{n_1+\ldots+n_k}(\varphi_{n_1} + \ldots + \varphi_{n_k})$$

for all integers $n_1, \ldots, n_k$, $k \geq 1$.

Lemma 3.4. *(Extended Subadditive Lemma) If $\{\varphi_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a $\underline{c}$-subadditive sequence of real numbers, then*

$$\inf_{n\geq 1} \frac{\varphi_n}{n} \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{\varphi_n}{n} \leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\varphi_n}{n} \leq c^2 \inf_{n\geq 1} \frac{\varphi_n}{n}.$$

When $c_n \equiv 1$, this is a well-known device having many applications, in ergodic theory notably.

Proof. It is a simple modification of the classical proof of the case $c_n \equiv 1$. Fix an arbitrary positive integer N and write $n = j_n N + r_n$ with $1 \leq r_n \leq N$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\inf_{n\geq 1} \frac{\varphi_n}{n} \leq \frac{\varphi_n}{n} &\leq c_{j_nN+r_n} \frac{\varphi_{j_nN} + \varphi_{r_n}}{n} \leq c_{j_nN+r_n} \frac{\varphi_{j_nN}}{j_nN} + c_{j_nN+r_n} \frac{\varphi_{r_n}}{n} \\
&\leq c_{j_nN+r_n} c_{j_nN} \frac{j_n\varphi_N}{j_nN} + c_{j_nN+r_n} \frac{\varphi_{r_n}}{n} \\
&\leq c_{j_nN+r_n} c_{j_nN} \frac{\varphi_N}{N} + c_{j_nN+r_n} \big(\max_{r\leq N} |\varphi_r|\big)/n.
\end{aligned}$$

When n tends to infinity, we have that $\frac{j_n}{n} \to \frac{1}{N}$. As $c_{j_nN+r_n}.c_{j_nN} \to c^2$, we get

$$\inf_{n\geq 1} \frac{\varphi_n}{n} \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{\varphi_n}{n} \leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\varphi_n}{n} \leq c^2 \frac{\varphi_N}{N}.$$

Since N was arbitrary, the lemma is proved. □

Proof of Corollary 3.3. Apply this to $\varphi_J = \log \mathbb{P}\{M(I, n_1, \ldots, n_J) \leq z\}$. By Corollary 3.2 and stationarity,

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{J+K} &= \log \mathbb{P}\{\sup_{j\leq J+K} \sup_{t\in I} |U(n_j+t)| \leq z\} \leq \frac{1}{p_{J+K}} \log \mathbb{P}\{\sup_{t\in I} |U(t)| \leq z\}^{J+K} \\
&= \frac{1}{p_{J+K}} \log\Big(\prod_{j\leq J} \mathbb{P}\{\sup_{t\in I} |U(n_j+t)| \leq z\} \cdot \prod_{j\leq K} \mathbb{P}\{\sup_{t\in I} |U(n_j+t)| \leq z\}\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{p_{J+K}} \log \mathbb{P}\Big\{ \sup_{j\leq J} \sup_{t\in I} |U(n_j+t)| \leq z \Big\} \mathbb{P}\Big\{ \sup_{j\leq K} \sup_{t\in I} |U(n_j+t)| \leq z \Big\} \\ &= \frac{1}{p_{J+K}} (\varphi_J + \varphi_K). \qquad (3.2) \end{aligned}$$

But $p_J = \frac{1+e^{-J|I|}}{1-e^{-J|I|}}$. Similarly, $\varphi_{J_1+\ldots+J_s} \leq \frac{1}{p_{J_1+\ldots+J_s}}(\varphi_{J_1} + \ldots + \varphi_{J_s})$. Thus $\{g_n, n\geq 1\}$ is $\underline{c}$-subadditive with $\underline{c} = \{p_J, J\geq 1\}$. Now $p_J = \frac{1+e^{-J|I|}}{1-e^{-J|I|}} \to 1$ as J tends to infinity. By Lemma 3.4, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{J\geq 1} \frac{\log \mathbb{P}\{M(I,n_1,\ldots,n_J)\leq z\}}{J} &\leq \liminf_{J\to\infty} \frac{\log \mathbb{P}\{M(I,n_1,\ldots,n_J)\leq z\}}{J} \\ &\leq \limsup_{J\to\infty} \frac{\log \mathbb{P}\{M(I,n_1,\ldots,n_J)\leq z\}}{J} \\ &\leq \inf_{J\geq 1} \frac{\log \mathbb{P}\{M(I,n_1,\ldots,n_J)\leq z\}}{J}. \end{aligned}$$

□

4. Cyclic Gaussian Processes

As mentionned in Section 2, these processes played a key role in [15]. The following lemma, which we state for our need is the crux of the proof of Lemma 2.3. Although it is valid for cyclic stationary Gaussian processes $\{X_t, t\in\mathbb{R}^d\}$ with an arbitrary period $(b_1,\ldots,b_d)$, we state it in the standard case of period $(1,\ldots,1)$, namely with fundamental index $\mathbb{T}^d = \mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{Z}^d = [0,1[^d$.

Lemma 4.1. ([15], Theorem 3) *Let $\{X_t, t\in\mathbb{R}^d\}$ be a 1-periodic stationary Gaussian process, continuous in quadratic mean. Let $V:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{C}$ be measurable and such that $V(X_0)$ is integrable. Then, for all measurable subsets B of $\mathbb{T}^d$,*

$$\Big|\mathbb{E}\Big(\exp\Big\{\int_B V(X_t)\Big\}\Big)\Big| \leq \big\|\exp(V(X_0))\big\|_p^{|B|}$$

where

$$p = \int_0^1 \frac{\big|\mathbb{E}\, X_0X_t\big|}{\mathbb{E}\, X_0^2}\mathrm{d}t.$$

Proposition 4.2. *Let $Y_t = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n\big(g_n^1 \cos 2\pi nt + g_n^2 \sin 2\pi nt\big)$, $t\in\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} = [0,1[$, where a_n are reals and g_n^1, g_n^2 are mutually independent Gaussian standard random variables. Let $s^2 = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n^2$. For $\theta > 0$ and $B\subset\mathbb{T}$ interval of length $|B|$,*

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{t\in B} |Y_t| \leq \theta\Big\} \leq \Big(e^p\,\mathbb{P}\Big\{|g| < \frac{\theta}{s}\Big\}\Big)^{\frac{|B|}{p}},$$

where

$$p = \int_0^1 \frac{\big|\sum_{n=1}^N a_n^2 \cos 2\pi nt\big|}{\sum_{n=1}^N a_n^2}\mathrm{d}t.$$

Proof. Notice that $\mathbb{E}\, Y_sY_t = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n^2 \cos 2\pi n(s-t)$. The proof is very similar to that of Theorem 2.5, except that we have a different decoupling coefficient:

$$p = \int_0^1 \frac{|\mathbb{E}\, Y_0Y_t|}{\mathbb{E}\, Y_0^2}\mathrm{d}t = \int_0^1 \frac{\big|\sum_{n=1}^N a_n^2\cos 2\pi nt\big|}{\sum_{n=1}^N a_n^2}\mathrm{d}t.$$

We only indicate the necessary changes. The proof is identical with Y_t in place of X_t until (2.5), where there is a slight modification due to the fact that $Y_0 = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n g_n^1 \overset{\mathcal{D}}{=} sg$, ($s^2 = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n^2$). Using Tchebycheff's inequality and Lemma 4.1, gives

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\Big\{\int_B f(Y_t)\mathrm{d}t \le \theta\Big\} &\le \min\Big(e^{\lambda\theta}\,\mathbb{E}\,\exp\Big\{-\lambda\int_B f(Y_t)\mathrm{d}t\Big\}, 1\Big)\\ &\le \min\Big(e^{\lambda\theta}\big(\mathbb{E}\, e^{-p\lambda f(sg)}\big)^{\frac{|B|}{p}}, 1\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Applying this with $f(x) = |x|^r$, $\theta = z^r|B|$, $\lambda = z^{-r}$ gives in exactly the same manner, with the notation (2.5),

$$\mathbb{P}\big\{\|Y\|_{r,B} \le z\big\} \quad\le\quad \big(e^p\,\mathbb{E}\, e^{-p(\frac{s|g|}{z})^r}\big)^{\frac{|B|}{p}}.$$

Hence, by using (2.6),

$$\mathbb{P}\big\{\sup_{t\in B}|Y_t| \le z\big\} \le \liminf_{r\to\infty}\mathbb{P}\big\{\|Y\|_{r,B}\le z\big\} \le \big(e^p\,\mathbb{P}\{|sg|<z\}\big)^{\frac{|B|}{p}}.$$

$\square$

An immediate consequence of Proposition 4.2 is that

Corollary 4.3. *With the notation from Proposition 4.2, for $z > 0$,*

$$\int_0^1 \big|\sum_{n=1}^N a_n^2\cos 2\pi nt\big|\mathrm{d}t \ge \Big(\sum_{n=1}^N a_n^2\Big)\ \frac{\log\frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\{|g|<\frac{z}{s}\}}}{\log\frac{e}{\mathbb{P}\{\|Y\|_{\infty,B}\le z\}^{\frac{1}{|B|}}}}.$$

Remark 4.4. **(Littlewood hypothesis)** Let $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ be integers. Consider the (generalized) Lebesgue constants

$$\vartheta_N = \int_0^1 \big|\sum_{k=1}^N e^{2i\pi n_k t}\big|\mathrm{d}t, \qquad N = 1, 2, \dots$$

Littlewood hypothesis ([28] p.12 for instance) essentially concerns the behavior of Lebesgue constants of arbitrary ordered trigonometric systems, and can be formulated as follows: for any increasing sequence of integers,

$$\vartheta_N \ge C\log N,$$

where $C > 0$ is an absolute constant. This was proved independently by Konyagin [16] and McGehee, Pigno and Smith [25] in 1981. Consideration of the Dirichlet kernel shows that the above lower bound is best possible. See [42] p. 67.

We shall deduce from Corollary 4.3

Corollary 4.5. *For all positive integers N, all $z>0$ and $B\subset\mathbb{T}$ interval,*

$$\vartheta_N\ge N|B|\left(\frac{\log\frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\{|g|<z\}}}{\log\frac{e^{|B|}}{\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup\limits_{t\in B}\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\big|\sum\limits_{1\le k\le N}(g_k^1\cos 2\pi n_kt+g_k^2\sin 2\pi n_kt)\big|\le z\Big\}}}\right).$$

Proof. Apply Corollary 4.3 with the choice $a_n=1/\sqrt{N}$, if $n=n_k$ for some $k\le N$, and equal to 0 otherwise. We deduce

$$\vartheta_N\ge N\,\frac{\log\frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\{|g|<z\}}}{\log\frac{e}{\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup\limits_{t\in B}\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\Big|\sum\limits_{1\le k\le N}(g_k^1\cos 2\pi n_kt+g_k^2\sin 2\pi n_kt)\Big|\le z\Big\}^{\frac{1}{|B|}}}}$$

as claimed. ☐

The above link between L^1-norms of trigonometric sums and Gaussian random trigonometric sums, seems unexpected. This suggests to examine it more closely using results in [41],[39]. This question will be investigated elsewhere. We conclude with a remarkable example in which Anderson's inequality is used and Talagrand's well-known lower bound since the corresponding entropy numbers are very simple.

Corollary 4.6. *There exists an absolute constant C such that for any set of integers J,*

$$\int_0^1\big|\sum_{n\in J}\frac{1}{n^2}\cos nt\big|\mathrm{d}t\ge C\big(\sum_{n\in J}\frac{1}{n^2}\big)^2.$$

Proof. Let

$$X_t=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n}\big(g_k^1\cos nt+g_k^2\sin nt\big),\qquad Y_t=\sum_{n\in J}\frac{1}{n}\big(g_n^1\cos nt+g_n^2\sin nt\big)$$

Then $\mathbb{E}\,X_s^2=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}=\frac{\pi^2}{6}$ and

$$\mathbb{E}\,X_sX_t=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\cos n(s-t)}{n^2}=\frac{3|s-t|^2-6\pi|s-t|+2\pi^2}{12}.$$

Thus $d^2(s,t)=\mathbb{E}\,(X_s-X_t)^2=\pi|s-t|-\frac{1}{2}|s-t|^2\sim\pi|s-t|$ as $|s-t|\to 0$. It follows that $N([0,1],d,\varepsilon)\asymp\varepsilon^{-2}$. By using Talagrand's lower bound (see [33]),

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{0\le t\le 1}|X_t|\le\varepsilon\Big\}\ge e^{-K\varepsilon^{-2}}.$$

Now since Y and $X-Y$ are independent, by using Anderson's inequality, we get

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{0\le t\le 1}|X_t|\le\varepsilon\Big\}\le\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{0\le t\le 1}|Y_t|\le\varepsilon\Big\}.$$

Therefore

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{0\le t\le 1}|Y_t|\le\varepsilon\Big\}\ge e^{-K\varepsilon^{-2}}.$$

We have $Y_0 = \sum_{n\in J} \frac{1}{n} g_n^1$ and $s(J) = \big(\sum_{n\in J} \frac{1}{n^2}\big)^{1/2}$,

$$p = s(J)^{-2} \int_0^1 \big| \sum_{n\in J} \frac{1}{n^2} \cos nt \big| \mathrm{d}t.$$

Applying Proposition 4.2 with $B = [0,1]$ gives,

$$e^{-K\theta^{-2}} \le \mathbb{P}\big\{ \sup_{0\le t\le 1} |Y_t| \le \theta \big\} \le e\, \mathbb{P}\big\{ |g| < \frac{\theta}{s(J)} \big\}^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

By taking logarithms in both sides, we get

$$p \ge \frac{\log \dfrac{1}{\mathbb{P}\{|g| < \frac{\theta}{s(J)}\}}}{1 + K\theta^{-2}}.$$

Consequently,

$$\int_0^1 \big| \sum_{n\in J} \frac{1}{n^2} \cos nt \big| \mathrm{d}t \ge \frac{s(J)^2\theta^2}{\theta^2 + K} \log \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\{|g| < \theta/s(J)\}}.$$

In particular, if $\theta = s(J)$,

$$\int_0^1 \big| \sum_{n\in J} \frac{1}{n^2} \cos nt \big| \mathrm{d}t \ge C \frac{s(J)^4}{s(J)^2 + K} \ge C \big(\sum_{n\in J} \frac{1}{n^2} \big)^2,$$

since $s(J)^2 \le \pi^2/6$. $\square$

5. Stationary sequences with Szegö spectral type conditions

Let $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ be a Gaussian vector with associated covariance matrix (or Gram matrix) $\Gamma = \{\gamma_{i,j}\}_{1\le i,j\le n}$. Assume that Γ is invertible and let $\Gamma_j = \Gamma(X_1, \ldots, X_j)$ be the j-th principal minor of Γ. Define $\rho_j = \det(\Gamma_{j-1})/\det(\Gamma_j)$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, $\Gamma_0 = 1$. By Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process we obtain from $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ an orthogonal sequence $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$, which may be expressed as follows

$$Y_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Gamma_{j-1}\Gamma_j}} \begin{vmatrix} \gamma_{1,1} & \gamma_{2,1} & \cdots & \gamma_{j,1} \\ \gamma_{1,2} & \gamma_{2,2} & \cdots & \gamma_{j,2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \gamma_{1,j-1} & \gamma_{2,j-1} & \cdots & \gamma_{j,j-1} \\ X_1 & X_2 & \cdots & X_j \end{vmatrix} \qquad j = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Developing along the last line gives

$$Y_j = \mathcal{L}(X_1, \ldots, X_{j-1}) + \rho_j X_j \qquad j = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Here we have denoted by $\mathcal{L}$ some linear form of the random variables $X_1, \ldots, X_{j-1}$. From this and (1.12), we easily deduce the following basic estimate: for $z_j > 0$ arbitrary,

$$\prod_{j=1}^n \Big(\int_{-z_j}^{z_j} e^{-x^2/2} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \Big) \le \mathbb{P}\Big\{ \sup_{j=1}^n \frac{|X_j|}{z_j} \le 1 \Big\} \le \prod_{j=1}^n \Big(\int_{-z_j\sqrt{\rho_j}}^{z_j\sqrt{\rho_j}} e^{-x^2/2} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \Big). \tag{5.1}$$

The search of suitable bounds of ρ_j is consequently a fundamental question. There are some special inequalities involving the Gram determinants $\det(\Gamma_j)$. For instance ([18], p.382–383),

$$\det\Gamma(X_1,\dots,X_j) \le \prod_{i=1}^{j} \|X_i\|_2^2. \tag{5.2}$$

$$\det\Gamma(X_1,\dots,X_j) \le \det\Gamma(X_1,\dots,X_k)\det\Gamma(X_{k+1},\dots,X_j). \tag{5.3}$$

Hence,

$$\rho_j \ge \frac{1}{\|X_j\|_2^2}. \tag{5.4}$$

See the upper bound (6.3), see also [9],[10]. If $\{X_j, j\in\mathbb{Z}\}$ is a Gaussian stationary sequence with spectral function F, it is natural to wonder which spectral conditions may be imposed on F to get upper and lower bounds to the probability $\mathbb{P}\big\{\sup_{j=1}^n |X_j|\le z\big\}$ (or to its logarithm), which are comparable and remain valid for some range of values of type $0<z\le z_0$, $n\ge n_0$. Let

$$c_n = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-in\lambda}F(\mathrm{d}\lambda), \tag{5.5}$$

so that $\mathbb{E}\,X_jX_k = c_{j-k}$. The corresponding Hermitian forms are also called the Toeplitz forms associated with F, and we have the representation

$$T_n = \sum_{\mu,\nu=0}^{n} c_{\nu-\mu}u_\mu\bar u_\nu = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\big|u_0+u_1e^{i\lambda}+u_2e^{2i\lambda}+\dots u_ne^{in\lambda}\big|^2F(\mathrm{d}\lambda).$$

Recall that F is said of finite type if its range consists of a finite number of values. In the opposite case, it is called of infinite type. The forms T_n are positive definite unless F is of finite type ([12], §1.11). If F is of infinite type, all determinants of the forms T_n are positive, namely $\det\Gamma_n>0$ for all n.

Theorem 5.1. *Assume F is of infinite type. Let f be the Radon-Nykodim derivative of the absolutely continuous part of F, and put*

$$G(f) = \begin{cases}\exp\Big\{\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\log f(t)\mathrm{d}t\Big\} & \text{if } \log f(t) \text{ is integrable}\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Then for all n and $z>0$,

$$\Big(\int_{-z}^{z} e^{-x^2/2}\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\Big)^n \le \mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{j=1}^{n}|X_j|\le z\Big\} \le \Big(\int_{-z/\sqrt{G(f)}}^{z/\sqrt{G(f)}} e^{-x^2/2}\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\Big)^n.$$

Remark 5.2. More explicit formulations can be deduced from estimate (1.13). The quantity $\exp\big\{\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\log f(t)\mathrm{d}t\big\}$ is by definition the geometric mean of f. The condition that $\log f$ be integrable is satisfied by a remarkable class of functions. Let $u(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}c_nz^n$ be an analytic function, regular in the open unit disk $|z|<1$ and belonging to H_2, namely the integral

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}|u(re^{it})|^2\mathrm{d}t$$

is bounded for every $r < 1$. This is equivalent to the fact that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |c_n|^2 < \infty$. Then the limit

$$\lim_{r\to 1-0} u(re^{it}) = h(t)$$

exists for almost every t. Let $f(t) = |h(t)|$. We furthermore have that $\log f$ is (Lebesgue) integrable and (see [12], §1.13),

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\log|f(e^{it})||\mathrm{d}t \le \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |c_n|^2.$$

Proof. According to (1.12), only the second inequality has to be proven. We have the explicit formula

$$\frac{1}{\rho_j} = \frac{\det(\Gamma_j)}{\det(\Gamma_{j-1})} = \min_p \int |p(e^{i\lambda}|^2\mu(\mathrm{d}\lambda), \tag{5.6}$$

where the minimum is taken over all polynomials p of degree $j-1$, of type $a_0 + a_1 z + \ldots + a_{j-1}z^{j-1}$ with $|a_{j-1}| = 1$. See ([12] §3.1.a and §2.2.a). Further, when j tends to infinity, these minima are decreasing and in fact

$$\frac{\det(\Gamma_j)}{\det(\Gamma_{j-1})} \downarrow \exp\Big\{\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log f(t)\mathrm{d}t\Big\}.$$

Consequently, by (5.1) and monotonicity,

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{j=1}^{n} |X_j| \le z\Big\} \le \Big(\int_{-z/\sqrt{G(f)}}^{z/\sqrt{G(f)}} e^{-x^2/2}\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\Big)^n. \tag{5.7}$$

□

Remark 5.3. A direct use of (1.11) would have provided a less precise result. Much later, Szegö also showed that a rate of convergence can be associated to (1.11) in presence of reasonable smoothness assumptions. Suppose that f has a derivative which satisfies a Lipschitz condition of order α, $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \Big[\log\det\Gamma_n - \frac{n+1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log f(t)\mathrm{d}t\Big] = \frac{1}{\pi}\int\int |h(z)|^2\mathrm{d}\sigma, \tag{5.8}$$

where the function $h(z)$ is analytic in z and is defined by the equality

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log f(\lambda)\frac{1+ze^{-i\lambda}}{1-ze^{-i\lambda}}\mathrm{d}\lambda,$$

and the integration in the right-handside in (5.8) is along the unit circle. See [20] for some generalization.

Example 5.4. Assume that the spectral density exists, $f(t) = a_0 + \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}*} a_n e^{int}$, $a_{-n} = a_{|n|}$, and

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}*} |a_n| < |a_0|. \tag{5.9}$$

Let m and M denote the essential lower and upper bound f respectively. Then $m > 0$. The conclusion of Theorem 5.1 holds. The link between a (square integrable) spectral function and its corresponding correlation function being given by

$$f(t) = \mathbb{E}\, X_0^2 + \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}*} (\mathbb{E}\, X_0 X_{|n|}) e^{int}, \tag{5.10}$$

this holds in particular for the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck sequence $\{U(n), n \ge 0\}$. Indeed, in this case $f(t) = 1 + \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}*} e^{-|n|/2} e^{int}$.

Remark 5.5. In the lacunary case $f(t) = a_0 + \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}*} a_k e^{in_k t}$, $n_k = \lambda^k$, $\lambda > 1$, $a_{-k} = a_{|k|}$, condition $m > 0$ is equivalent to (5.9), since the maxima of the polynomials $\sum_{|k|\le N} a_k e^{in_k t}$ verify

$$\sup_{-\pi\le t\le\pi} \Big| \sum_{|k|\le N} a_k e^{in_k t} \Big| = \sum_{|k|\le N} |a_k|.$$

This follows from a well-known theorem of Sidon.

Example 5.6. Let $b > 0$, and consider again $Y_j = U(jb) - U((j-1)b)$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots$. We compute the corresponding geometric mean. Recall that

$$\mathbb{E}\, Y_\ell Y_{\ell+u} = \begin{cases} 2(1 - e^{-b/2}) & \text{if } u = 0, \\ (2 - e^{b/2} - e^{-b/2}) e^{-ub/2} & \text{if } u \ge 1. \end{cases} \tag{5.11}$$

Let $r = e^{-b/2}$. Then $\delta := 2 - e^{b/2} - e^{-b/2} = -\frac{(1-r)^2}{r} \sim -\frac{b^2}{4} + \mathcal{O}(b^3)$, as $b \to 0$. Now introduce the Poisson kernel

$$g_r(t) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} r^{|n|} e^{int} = \frac{1 - r^2}{1 - 2r\cos t + r^2}, \qquad 0 < r < 1. \tag{5.12}$$

It is well-known that $\log g_r(t)$ is integrable. Further,

$$\int_0^\pi \log(1 - 2r\cos x + r^2)\mathrm{d}x = 0, \qquad (= \pi \log r^2 \text{ if } r > 1). \tag{5.13}$$

The spectral function, call it $h(t)$, verifies

$$\begin{aligned} h(t) &= 2(1-r) + \delta \sum_{u\in\mathbb{Z}^*} r^{|u|} e^{iut} = 2(1-r) - \delta + \delta \sum_{u\in\mathbb{Z}} r^{|n|} e^{iut} \\ &= 2(1-r) + \frac{(1-r)^2}{r} - \frac{(1-r)^2}{r} \frac{(1-r^2)}{1 - 2r\cos x + r^2} \\ &= \frac{1-r^2}{r}\Big(1 - \frac{(1-r)^2}{1 - 2r\cos x + r^2}\Big) \\ &= \frac{2(1-r^2)(1-\cos x)}{1 - 2r\cos x + r^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

We have from (5.13)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log h(t)\mathrm{d}t &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log\big(2(1-r^2)(1-\cos x)\big)\mathrm{d}t \\ &= 2\pi \log[2(1-r^2)] + \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log(2\sin^2 \frac{t}{2})\mathrm{d}t \end{aligned}$$

$$= \quad 2\pi \log[4(1-r^2)] + 4\int_0^{\pi} \log\sin\frac{t}{2}\mathrm{d}t.$$

But $\int_0^{\pi} \log\sin\frac{t}{2}\mathrm{d}t = -\pi\log 2$. Therefore

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log h(t)\mathrm{d}t = \log[2(1-r^2)] + \log 2 - 2\log 2 = \log(1-r^2).$$

Thus $G(h) = 1-r^2 = 1-e^{-b}$ and by Theorem 5.1,

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{j=1}^{n}\big|U(jb)-U((j-1)b)\big| \le z\Big\} \le \Big(\int_{-\frac{z}{\sqrt{1-e^{-b}}}}^{\frac{z}{\sqrt{1-e^{-b}}}} e^{-x^2/2}\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\Big)^n. \tag{5.15}$$

More generally, let $\xi(t), t\ge 0$ be a Gaussian stationary process and b being a positive real, let $\xi_b(j) = \xi((j+1)b)-\xi(jb),\ j=0,1,\ldots$ Let also $\gamma(h) = \mathbb{E}\,\xi(0)\xi(h)$, $\gamma(0)=1$, $\sigma(h) = \sqrt{2(1-\gamma(h)}$.

Proposition 5.7. *Assume that* $\gamma(h)$ *is convex decreasing and let* $f=-\gamma'$. *Then* $f(t) = 2\sin(\frac{t}{2})\,g(t)$ *where*

$$g(t) \asymp \frac{\sigma^2(b)+\sigma^2(2b)+\ldots+\sigma^2((m-1)b)}{m} + \sigma^2(mb)$$

as $t\to +0$, *and we write* $m = \lfloor\frac{\pi}{|t|}\rfloor$ *for brevity. Further* $\log f$ *is integrable.*

Proof. Set $\Delta_n = \sigma^2(nb)-\sigma^2((n-1)b),\ n=1,2,\ldots,\ \Delta_0=0$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}\,\xi_b(0)\xi_b(n) = \frac12\big\{-2\sigma^2(nb)+\sigma^2((n-1)b)+\sigma^2((n+1)b)\big\} = \frac12\big\{\Delta_{n+1}-\Delta_n\big\},$$

and $f(t) = \mathbb{E}\,\xi_b(0) + \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^*}(\mathbb{E}\,\xi_b(0)\xi_b(|n|))e^{int} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(\Delta_{n+1}-\Delta_n)\cos nt$. By using Abel summation and the relation $\cos jt - \cos(j+1)t = 2\sin\frac{t}{2}\,\sin\frac{(2j+1)t}{2}$, $f(t)$ can be rewritten as $f(t) = 2\sin\frac{t}{2}\,g(t)$ where

$$g(t) = \Delta_1\sin\frac{3t}{2} + \Delta_2\sin\frac{5t}{2} + \ldots$$

As σ^2 is concave increasing, it follows that $\Delta_1\ge\Delta_2\ge\ldots$ The behavior of sine series with non increasing coefficients were studied by Salem. We refer to Popov's article [30] for instance, for the result below (Telyakovskii's estimate) and recent sharpenings,

$$g(t) \asymp t\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor\frac{\pi}{|t|}\rfloor} k\Delta_k \qquad t\to +0.$$

The constants involved in the symbol $\asymp$ are absolute. By using again Abel summation,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} k\Delta_k = \sigma^2(b)+\sigma^2(2b)+\ldots+\sigma^2((m-1)b)+m\sigma^2(mb).$$

Letting $m=\lfloor\frac{\pi}{|t|}\rfloor$, we deduce

$$g(t) \asymp \frac{\sigma^2(b)+\sigma^2(2b)+\ldots+\sigma^2((m-1)b)}{m} + \sigma^2(mb),$$

as $t \to +0$. Therefore

$$f(t) \asymp \sin\frac{t}{2} \qquad t \to +0.$$

It follows that $\log f$ is integrable, as claimed. □

Remark 5.8. Assume f be integrable, $f \not\equiv 0$. The condition that $\log f$ be integrable characterizes the fact that there exists an analytic function $h(z)$ of the class H_2 such that $f(t) = |h(z)|^2$, $z = e^{it}$. This is well-known extension of Fejér-Riesz's representation theorem for non negative trigonometric polynomials. It also characterizes the property that $\{X_j, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be non-deterministic.

We conclude this section with an abstract and less handy reformulation of Theorem 5.1. Recall that $\Gamma_j = \Gamma(X_1, \ldots, X_j)$. Let E_k be the subspace of L^2 linearly generated by $X_1, \ldots, X_{j-1}$ and put

$$\vartheta_j = \|X_j - E_{j-1}\|,$$

namely the distance from X_j to E_{j-1}.

Proposition 5.9. *i) Let $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ be Gaussian with invertible covariance matrix. Then*

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{j=1}^{n} \frac{|X_j|}{z_j} \le 1\Big\} \le \prod_{j=1}^{n} \Big(\int_{-\frac{z_j}{\vartheta_j}}^{\frac{z_j}{\vartheta_j}} e^{-x^2/2} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\Big).$$

ii) Let $\{X_j, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be a Gaussian stationary seqence having an absolutely continuous spectrum, with spectral density function f. Then $\vartheta_j^{-2} = \sum_{k=0}^{j} |\varphi_k(0)|^2$ where $\{\varphi_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is the orthonormal sequence of polynomials associated to the weight function $f(x)$.

Proof. First notice that

$$\Gamma_j = \Gamma_{j-1}\vartheta_j^2. \tag{5.16}$$

For a reference, see [1] p.13. According to (5.1),

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{j=1}^{n} \frac{|X_j|}{z_j} \le 1\Big\} \le \prod_{j=1}^{n} \Big(\int_{-\frac{z_j}{\vartheta_j}}^{\frac{z_j}{\vartheta_j}} e^{-x^2/2} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\Big).$$

As to b), this follows from [12], (10) p.40. □

See also [21], Proposition 3, Section 3 where a more complicated proof is given. For applications of strong Szegö limit theorems to linear prediction of stationary processes, we refer to Chapter 10 of [12], which is entirely devoted to this question.

6. Geršgorin's Disks and Matrices with Dominant Principal Diagonal

In this part, we are rather concerned with the non-stationary case. For an important class of matrices the parameters ρ_j in (5.1) turn up to be easily controlable. An $n \times n$ matrix $A = \{a_{i,j}, 1 \le i, j \le n\}$ has dominant principal diagonal if

$$|a_{i,i}| > \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \ne i}}^{n} |a_{i,j}|, \qquad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n. \tag{6.1}$$

This notion already appeared in Minkowski and Hadamard works (see the overview in [34]). Matrices with dominant principal diagonal define a quite remarkable class: they are invertible and their determinants are easy to estimate. Put for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$A_i = \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j\neq i}}^n |a_{i,j}|, \qquad m_i = |a_{i,i}| - A_i, \qquad M_i = |a_{i,i}| + A_i.$$

The following basic estimate is due to Price ([31], Theorem 1), the lower bound being previously proved by Ostrowski in [29], (see also [6],[11],[14],[17] for various refinements).

$$0 < m_1 \dots m_n \le |\det(A)| \le M_1 \dots M_n. \tag{6.2}$$

If A is a Gram matrix, it follows from this and inequality (5.4) that

$$\rho_j \le \frac{(1+\tau)^{j-1}}{a_{j,j}}, \qquad \text{where} \quad \tau = \max_i \frac{A_i}{a_{i,i}} < 1. \tag{6.3}$$

Then by (6.3) and (5.1),

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{ \sup_{j=1}^n \frac{|X_j|}{z_j} \le 1 \Big\} \le \prod_{j=1}^n \Big(\int_{-\frac{z_j}{\sqrt{a_{j,j}}}(1+\tau)^{\frac{j-1}{2}}}^{\frac{z_j}{\sqrt{a_{j,j}}}(1+\tau)^{\frac{j-1}{2}}} e^{-x^2/2} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \Big). \tag{6.4}$$

This can be however improved.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be a Gaussian vector and assume that for some $r < 1$,*

$$\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j\neq i}}^n |\mathbb{E}\, X_i X_j| \le r\, \mathbb{E}\, X_i^2, \qquad i = 1, \dots, n. \tag{6.5}$$

Then,

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{ \sup_{j=1}^n |X_j| \le z \Big\} \le \prod_{j=1}^n \mathbb{P}\Big\{ |X_j| \le \frac{z}{\sqrt{1-r}} \Big\}.$$

Our result much improves Theorem 2.2 in [23] where only a bound of $\sup_{j=1}^n X_j$ is given under similar assumptions (assumption (2.4) has to be modified). The proof uses the following general estimate for quadratic forms

Lemma 6.2. *For any systems of reals $\{x_i\}$, $\{a_{i,j}\}$ with $a_{i,j} = a_{j,i}$,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \Big(a_{i,i} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j\neq i}}^n |a_{i,j}| \Big) \ge \sum_{i,j=1}^n x_i x_j a_{i,j} \ge \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \Big(a_{i,i} - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j\neq i}}^n |a_{i,j}| \Big).$$

Proof. At first we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Big| \sum_{1\le i<j\le n} x_i x_j a_{i,j} \Big| &\le \sum_{1\le i<j\le n} \Big(\frac{x_i^2 + x_j^2}{2} \Big) |a_{i,j}| \\ &\le \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^2 \Big(\sum_{1\le \ell<j} |a_{\ell,j}| + \sum_{j<\ell\le n} |a_{j,\ell}| \Big). \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j^2 \Big(\sum_{\substack{1\le \ell\le n\\ \ell\neq j}} |a_{j,\ell}| \Big).$$

And next

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} x_i x_j a_{i,j} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 a_{i,i} + 2 \sum_{1\le i<j\le n} x_i x_j a_{i,j} \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 \Big(a_{i,i} - \sum_{\substack{\ell=1\\ \ell\neq i}}^{n} |a_{i,\ell}| \Big).$$

This yields the first inequality. The second follows similarly. □

Proof of Proposition 6.1. Let $0 < \alpha < 1-r$, $\underline{Y} = \{\sqrt{\alpha}\, a_{i,i}\, g_i, 1 \le i \le n\}$ and $B = \{\mathbb{E}\, X_iX_j - \mathbb{E}\, Y_iY_j, 1 \le i,j \le n\}$. By applying Lemma 6.2 to B, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} x_i x_j (\mathbb{E}\, X_iX_j - \mathbb{E}\, Y_iY_j) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} x_i x_j b_{i,j} = \sum_{=1}^{n} x_i^2 \Big(a_{i,i}(1-\alpha) - \sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{n} |a_{i,j}| \Big) \\ &\ge \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 a_{i,i}(1-\alpha-r) \ge 0.\end{aligned}$$

Thus by Anderson's inequality [35] p.55, for any convex set C symmetric around 0,

$$\mathbb{P}\big\{ \underline{X} \in C \big\} \le \mathbb{P}\big\{ \underline{Y} \in C \big\}. \tag{6.6}$$

By choosing $C = \big\{ (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x_i| \le z \big\}$, we deduce

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{ \sup_{j=1}^{n} |X_j| \le z \Big\} \le \mathbb{P}\Big\{ \sup_{j=1}^{n} |Y_j| \le z \Big\} = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}\big\{ |a_{j,j} g_j| \le \frac{z}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \big\}.$$

Letting next α tend to $1-r$, finally leads to

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{ \sup_{j=1}^{n} |X_j| \le z \Big\} \le \prod_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}\big\{ |a_{j,j} g_j| \le \frac{z}{\sqrt{1-r}} \big\} = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}\big\{ |X_j| \le \frac{z}{\sqrt{1-r}} \big\}.$$

as claimed. □

Remark 6.3. Condition (6.1) has to be related with famous Geršgorin's theorem, which states that the eigenvalues of an $n \times n$ matrix with complex entries lie in the union of the closed disks (Geršgorin disks)

$$|z - a_{i,i}| \le A_i \qquad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n) \tag{6.7}$$

in the complex plane. This result has naturally many concrete applications. An example to the analysis of flutter phenomenon in aircraft design is described in [34]. There is an analog result due to Brauer on ovals of Cassini stating that

$$|z - a_{i,i}||z - a_{j,j}| \le A_i A_j \qquad (i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n,\ i \neq j). \tag{6.8}$$

See [5]. In relation with this, we have that if

$$|a_{i,i}||a_{k,k}| > A_i A_k \qquad (i, k = 1, 2, \ldots, n,\ i \neq k) \tag{6.9}$$

then $\det(A) > 0$. Note that the relations (6.9) imply $|a_{i,i}| > A_i$ for all i but one.

Matrices with dominant principal diagonal are used in a crucial way in [26] starting from (5.1), see proof of Lemma 2. Assume $\gamma(u)$ is convex on $[0,\delta]$ for some $\delta > 0$, and let $\sigma^2(x) = 2(1-\gamma(x))$. Let also $t_0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_n$ with $t_n - t_0 \leq \delta$. By using convexity of γ, $\mathbb{E}\,(X(t_i) - X(t_{i-1})(X(t_j) - X(t_{j-1}) \leq 0$, if $j \neq i$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} A_i &:= \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j\neq i}}^{n} |\mathbb{E}\,(X(t_i) - X(t_{i-1})(X(t_j) - X(t_{j-1})| \\ &= -\mathbb{E}\,(X(t_i) - X(t_{i-1})\big[X(t_n) - X(t_i) + X(t_{i-1}) - X(t_0)\big] \\ &= \sigma^2(t_i - t_{i-1}) + \frac{1}{2}\big[\sigma^2(t_{i-1} - t_0) - \sigma^2(t_i - t_0) + \sigma^2(t_n - t_i) - \sigma^2(t_n - t_{i-1})\big] \\ &< \sigma^2(t_i - t_{i-1}). \end{aligned}$$

However the ratio $A_i/\sigma^2(t_i - t_{i-1})$ has to be estimated in order to adjust with assumption (6.5), and we don't see how this can be done. It seems therefore that inequality (7) (and thereby (8)) in [26] needs a correction. A strictly weaker estimate can be deduced from (6.4). A comparable estimate (without absolute values) however trivially follows from Slepian's lemma since the process has negatively correlated increments, see [24] Theorem 4.5.

Final remark. Although not presented here, the results from the sections 2,3,4,5 admit some extensions to Gaussian random fields defined on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with values in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

References

[1] Achieser N.I., Glasman I.M.: (1954) *Theorie der Linearen Operatoren in Hilbert-Raum*, Berlin.

[2] Aurzada F., Lifshits M.: (2008) *Small deviation probability via chaining*, Stoch. Proc. & Appl. **118**, 2344–2368. 1–26.

[3] Barthe F.: (1998) *On a reverse form of the Brascamp–Lieb inequality*, Invent. math. **134**, 335–361.

[4] Brascamp H.M., Lieb E.H.: (1976) *Best Constants in Young's Inequality, Its Converse, and Its Generalization to More than Three Functions*, Advances in Math. **205**, 151–173.

[5] Brauer F.L.: (1947) *Limits for the characteristic roots of a matrix II*, Duke Math. J. **14**, 21–26.

[6] Brenner J.L.: (1954) *A Bound for a Determinant with Dominant Main Diagonal*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **5** No4, 631–634.

[7] Beśka M., Ciesielski Z.: (2006) *Gebelein's inequality and its consequences*, Approximation and Probability, Banach Center Publications **72**, Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warszawa 11–23.

[8] Csáki E.: (1994) *Some limit theorems for empirical processes*, in: Vilaplana, J.P., Puri, M.I. (Eds), Recent Advances in Statistics and Probability (Proc. **4th** IMSIBAC) VSP, Utrecht, 247–254.

[9] Davies P.J.: (1965) *Interpolation and Approximation*, New-York-Toronto-London, 393p.

[10] Everitt W.N.: (1962) *Inequalities for Gram determinants*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (**2**) **8**, 191–196.

[11] Feingold D.G., Varga R.S.: (1962) *Block diagonally dominant matrices and generalisations of the Geršgorin circle theorem*, Pacific J. Math. **12**, 1241-1250.

[12] Grenander U., Szegö G.: (1958) *Toeplitz forms and their applications*, Univ. of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles.

[13] Guerra F., Rosen L., Simon B.: (1975). *The $P(\phi)_2$ Euclidean quantum field theory as classical statistical mechanics*, Ann. of Math. **101**, 111–189.

[14] Haynsworth E.V.: (1953) *Bounds for determinants with dominant principal diagonal*, Duke Math. J. **20**, 199–209.

[15] Klein A., Landau L.J., Shucker D.S.: (1982) *Decoupling inequalities for stationary Gaussian processes*, Ann. of Prob. **10**, 702–708.

[16] Konyagin S.V.: (1981) *On the problem of Littlewood*, Izv. Acad Nauk SSSR Ser. Math. [Math.USSR-Izv.], **45** No. 2, 243–265.

[17] Ky Fan: (1971) *On the singular values of compact operators*, J. London Math. Soc. **2** No3, 187–189.

[18] Kurepa S.: (1967) *Konačno dimensionalni vectorski prostori i primjene*, Zagreb.

[19] Lancaster H.O.: (1969) *The chi-squared distribution*, Wiley Publ. in Statistics.

[20] Libkind L.M.: (1972) *Asymptotic of the eigenvalues of Toeplitz forms*, Mat. Zametki **11** No. 2, 151–158.

[21] Lifshits M.: (1995) *Gaussian random functions*, Dordrecht, Kluwer Academic Publishers.

[22] Lifshits M.: (1999) *Asymptotic behavior of small balls probabilities,* in: Prob. theory and Math. Statist. Proc. VII, International Vilnius Conference, VSP/TEV, 453–468.

[23] Li Wenbo V., Shao Qi-Man: (2004) *Lower tail probabilities for Gaussian processes*, Ann. of Prob. **32** No1A, 216–242.

[24] Li Wenbo V., Shao Qi-Man: (2005) *Gaussian Processes: Inequalities, Small Balls Probabilities and Applications*, in D.N. Shanbhag et al. (Eds.), Stochastic Processes: Theory and Methods, in: Handb. Statist. **19**, Elsevier, Amsterdam 2001, 533–597.

[25] McGehee O.C., Pigno L., Smith B.: (1981) *Hardy's inequality and the L_1-norm of exponential sums*, Ann. of Math. (2), **113** No. 3, 613–618.

[26] Marcus M.B.: (1968) *Gaussian processes with stationary increments possessing discontinuous sample paths*, Pacific J. of Math. **26** No1, 149–157.

[27] Newell G.F.: (1962) *Asymptotic extreme value distributions for one dimensional diffusion processes*, J. Math. Mech. **11** No1, 481–496.

[28] Olevskii A.M.: (1975) *Fourier series with respect to general orthogonal systems*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band **86**.

[29] Ostrowski A.M.: (1951) *Note on bounds for determinants with dominant principal diagonal*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **3**, 26–30.

[30] Popov A.Y.: (2003) *Estimates of the sums of sine series with monotone coefficients of certain classes*, Math. Notes **74** No6, 829–840.

[31] Price B.: (1951) *Bounds for determinants with dominant principal diagonal*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **2**, 497–502.

[32] Stolz W: (1996) *Some small balls probabilities for Gaussian processes under non-uniform norms*, J. Theor. Prob. **9**, 613-630.

[33] Talagrand M.: (1993) *New Gaussian estimates for enlarged balls*, Geom. and Funct. Anal. **3**, 502–526.

[34] Taussky O.: (1988) *How I became a Torchbearer for Matrix Theory*, Amer. Math. Monthly **95** No9 (Nov. 1988), 801–812.

[35] Tong. Y.L.: (1980) *Probability Inequalities in Multivariate Distributions*, Academic Press, New-York.

[36] Veraar M.: (2009) *Correlation Inequalities and Applications to Vector-Valued Gaussian Random Variables and Fractional Brownian Motion*, Potential Analysis, **30**, 341–370.

[37] Voss J.: (2009) *Upper and Lower Bounds in Exponential Tauberian Theorems*, arXiv:0908.0642v2.

[38] Weber M.: (1989) *The supremum of Gaussian processes with a constant variance*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **81** no.4, 585–591.

[39] Weber M.: (2006) *On a stronger form of Salem-Zygmund's inequality for random trigonometric sums with examples*, Periodica Math. Hungar. **52** (2), 73–104.

[40] Weber M.: *Dynamical Systems and Processes*, European Mathematical Society Publishing House, IRMA Lectures in Mathematics and Theoretical Physics **14** xiii+761p, 2009.

[41] Weber M.: (2010) *On small deviations of Gaussian processes using majorizing measures*, preprint available at arXiv:1012.3614v1.

[42] Zygmund A.: (2002) *Trigonometrical Series*, Third Edition, Cambridge Mathematical Library, Cambridge University Press.

Michel Weber: IRMA, Université Louis-Pasteur et C.N.R.S., 7 rue René Descartes, 67084 Strasbourg Cedex, France.
E-mail address: `michel.weber@math.unistra.fr`
URL: `http://www-irma.u-strasbg.fr/~weber/`